IN THE

-FALIMBANI'S SCHOLARSHI

Mohammed Hussain Ahmad





# ISLAM IN THE MALAY WORLD AI-Falimbănī's Scholarship



# ISLAM IN THE MALAY WORLD

Al-Falimbānī's Scholarship

Mohammed Hussain Ahmad



Gombak • 2017

#### First Print, 2017 CHUM Press, HUM

HUM Press is a member of the Majlis Penerbitan Ilmiah Malaysia – MAPIM (Malaysian Scholarly Publishing Council)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without any prior written permission of the publisher.

Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia

Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Islam in the Malay World: Al-Falimbani's Scholarship

Mohammed Hussain Ahmad.

Includes index

Bibliography: page 307

- ISBN 978-967-418-430-8
- Falimbani, Abdus-Samad, --b. 1700.
   Islam--History--Malay Archipelago. 3. Sufism--History.
- 4. Muslim scholars.
- 1. Mohammed Hussain Ahmad.

297.709598

Published by IIUM Press International Islamic University Malaysia P.O. Box 10, 50728 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Fel: +603-6196-5014: Fax: +603-6196-4862-6298

Printed in Malaysia by



To My beloved late father, Pehin Penyurat Haji Awang Ahmad bin Pehin Jawatan Dalam Haji Awang Mohammad Yusof (d.1436/2015), May Allah sanctify his soul and bless him.





### Contents

	Transliteration	ix
	Preface	xi
	Acknowledgements	xiii
	Introduction	XV
	Abbreviations	lvii
	A Note on Technicality	1ix
Chapter 1:	Review of Sources and Contemporary Studies	1
Chapter 2:	Biographical Data of 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbānī	34
Chapter 3:	Al-Falimbānī's Formation of a Scholarship	74
Chapter 4:	Al-Falimbānī's Schools	178
Chapter 5:	Al-Falimbānī's Writings: Δ Critical Assessment	220
	Epilogue	281
	Appendix 1	287
	Appendix 2	296
	Appendix 3	300
	Bibliography	307
	Index	353

## Chapter 5

# Al-Falimbānī's Writings: A Critical Assessment

#### Introduction

The previous chapters have shown that al-Falimbānī travelled widely to various centres of Islamic learning and studied with and met many prominent Muslim scholars of his time. He acquired knowledge in every major Islamic discipline, which can be seen from the list of books he read with his teachers. From this wide base of scholarship one would expect that his own writings would reflect his vast learning and experience. But when consulting the existing contemporary studies on al-Falimbānī's life and writings, we find them lacking. None of these contemporary studies have produced an accurate account of al-Falimbānī's writings.

Voorhoeve was the first to provide a biography of al-Falimbānī and enumerates within it five core works. These are respectively: Zahrat al-Murīā, Hidāyat as-Sālikīu, Sayr as-Sālikīu, al-'Urwat al-Musliana' Later generations of scholars built on this foundation. The next major study on al-Falimbānī was done by El-Muhammady who follows Voorhoeve, listing one further treatise, Rānb 'Abd ay-Şamad.' He included the Rātib, taken from Brockelmann's list of manuscripts. However, Brockelmann only lists two of al-Falimbānī's writings, the Rātib and Naṣāḥat al-Muslimīn.' Citing El-Muhammady, Drewes includes all of the above and was also the first to conclusively attribute Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīu to al-Falimbānī, building upon Voorhoeve's ascription.' Quzwain added one further work to this growing list, which was Zād al-Muttaqīn.'

Using these eight texts as a base, further research has thus far uncovered a total of twelve texts attributed to al-Falimbānī. This brings the total number of his output to twenty, of which three have been wrongly

attributed, as we shall see below. Starting with the texts listed above I will highlight his scholarly contributions in various fields relating to the Malay socio-religious and intellectual milieu. I will also discuss a number of his writings that have not been identified or utilised previously which show that although he was committed to Sūfism and wrote extensively on the subject, he was also proficient in other disciplines including figh and hadith. This will provide us with a more comprehensive view of al-Falimbānī's scholarly and intellectual significance.

# Al-Falimbānī's Writings Listed by Contemporary Scholars

The first text mentioned above was his Zahrat al-Murīd fī Bayān Kalimat at-Taveḥīd (The Flower for the Seeker on the Exposition of the Proclamation of the Oneness [of God]). Al-Falimbānī himself tells in this book that in the year 1178/1765, "a savant and a very learned scholar and the master of renowned authorship" (al-'ālim al-'allāmah ṣāḥib at-ta 'līf al-mashlūr) from Egypt, Aḥmad b. 'Abd al-Mun'im ad-Damanhūrī, arrived in Mecca for his pilgrimage.' Al-Falimbānī attended his series of lectures, taking his own notes and was asked afterwards by a fellow compatriot to translate this into Malay for the benefit of his close circle which he refers to as 'ba'd al-muḥibbūn.' It is my contention that is one of his carliest writings, as it was completed in Mecca on Wednesday, 23 Dhū al-Ilijiah 1178/12 June 1765.8 This work was completed when he was around forty-six years old. One would expect at this age that al-Falimbānī would have been in the prime of his writing career. Analysis of this work, however, leads me to conclude that this is his first output.

Textual analysis gives the impression that al-Falimbānī was concerned most probably about forgetting the contents of what was read as he states that "after the lectures, I took notes fearing forgetfulness" (ba'd al-qirā'ah katabtu taqrīrahu khawf an-nisyān). This perhaps shows his lack of experience in producing scholarly texts, because an established scholar would most likely not include such an admission. This is further highlighted due to the fact that he uses an honorific to designate his humility and incapacity in comparison to ad-Damanhūrī.

Compared to the lofty title given above, al-Falimbānī describes himself as "the worthless in need of God" (al-ḥaqīr al-faqīr ilā Allālı). <sup>10</sup> If he was simply attempting to show humility, he would have used the latter title without the admission above. This further finds support in that this was the only place in his works where he included such an admission

This text itself is based on his Arabic notes forming the matn with explanation in Malay. The main topic is the relationship between manţiq (logic) and nyīl ad-dīn (scholastic theology). In addition to this, he also delves into Arabic grammar (nahw). Among the authoritative scholars and their writings listed in this tract are as-Sanūsī and his exposition on Umm al-Barāhīn, as-Suḥaymī and his commentary on al-Hudhudī (Muḥammad b. Manṣūr al-Hudhudī's commentary on Umm al-Barāhīn), lbn Ḥajar al-Ḥaytamī and his Tuhjat [al-Muhtūī], al-Mihtūī [al-Qawīm] and [al-Hīām bi-] Qawāti' al-Islām. He also mentions his teacher 'Aṭā' Allāh b. Aḥmad al-Azharī al-Miṣrī al-Makkī and his book entitled al-'Iqd al-Farīd fī Tahqūg Kalimat al-Tawhūū.

Although the Zahrat al-Murīd was mentioned by several contemporary scholars (except Brockelmann above), it is clear that they did not consult the text thoroughly, if at all. If they had consulted the text, they would see its value as a source in charting the religious disagreement in the Malay Archipelago at that time. Three main issues are discussed in the text; first, engaging in debates on advanced topics in front of the uneducated masses, for the sake of showing off and to be known as a scholar. The second issue relates to takfīr (accusation of unbelief), and the third and final topic is regarding those who have only basic knowledge but engage in debates on advanced issues. If In discussing these topics, al-Falimbānī calls them people from "the land below the wind" (dibawah angin), in other words, those "scholars" from the Malay Archipelago with whom he disagrees with, in three distinct categories.

In giving advice to his novice students (muhtadī), he recommends that they do not engage in advanced discussion regarding the attributes and essence of God, as found in the affirmation and negation in the shahādah (there is no deity but Allāh). He contrasts this with the first deviated group, who, according to him are the ignorant people (jāhil) who teach this subject in depth in order to receive the status, prestige and material benefits of being known as an 'ālim (savant). This knowledge

is not required by the novice, as acquiring detailed knowledge of 'ilm at-tawhid is not an individual obligation (fardu 'ayu) on everyone. 12

The second group from the 'land below the wind' that al-Falimbānī mentions are those who accuse a believer of unbelief (kufr) without knowledge or certain evidence and without possessing detailed knowledge of their error. He comments that this is happening en masse, and highlights the danger of such action mentioning that whoever accuses another Muslim of unbelief without proof has it rebound upon himself. He supports his position by paraphrasing the widely known hadīth on the subject [man kaffara nm ininan faqad kafar]. He further supports his argument by citing the kitāb ar-riddah (book or chapter on apostasy) from Ibn Ilajar's Tuhfah who is considered a major authority in the Shāfi'i madhhab, 'b stating that:

It is impermissible to call the children of a Muslim unbelievers, even if they do not pronounce the shahāālah once in their life time or learn the twenty attributes (of God); even to the extent that they committed vice throughout their life. We cannot call such a person an unbeliever unless his action shows his kufr, or his statements reflect unbelief, or he directly affirms belief which is kufr, <sup>14</sup>

Furthermore, al-Falimbānī states that it is improper to make a judgment about someone's belief unless it is based on certainty or proven evidence, and that no one is capable of judging until learning the 'thin ash-shara' (Islamic law), fiqh (jurisprudence), and tawhīd (Divine Unity) from a credible and adept scholar.<sup>15</sup>

The third and last group that he mentions and criticises are those who discuss subjects for which they are not qualified. For instance, those who have recently completed studying al-ājurūmiyyah and Umm al-Barāhīn, foundational texts in Arabic grammar and creed respectively, claiming themselves to be learned and capable of engaging in discussion of 'ilm uṣūl ad-dūn (Principles of the Religion), to the extent that this leads to accusing others of kufr. This is improper even if proficient in 'ilm an-naḥw (Arabic grammar), without mastering other Islamic sciences such as 'ilm al-manṭiq (logic) and other subjects.<sup>10</sup>

From this discussion, which takes about one quarter of the Zahrat al-Murīd, we can observe a number of important points. Firstly, he is

primarily addressing his close students but in illustrating his advice he refers to the volatile situation in the Malay Archipelago at that time. Secondly, this highlights that al-Falimbānī is acutely aware of the religious discontent in his homeland and feels the need to address these issues directly. Thirdly, this implies that he maintained contact with his homeland through both correspondence and students. Lastly, he knows that from among his students, a number would return home and engage these issues directly.

One further observation that can be made is that his methodology in advising his students shows his intellectual ability to relate specific issues to a wider, contemporary context, 'Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Ahdal reports regarding his teacher, al-Falimbānī, in his au-Nafas al-Yamānī that "he continued to emphasise to me the ethics of giving legal opinions (fatwā); that a mufiī should not be confined merely to the question as this is insufficient. Rather, if he has knowledge of the current situation it must be perceived in his answer, as in this way, those engaged in this field know the religious benefits."

Based on the evidence that numerous manuscript copies are available in several libraries, is we can deduce that perhaps this work was once popular and widely circulated in the Archipelago, especially in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, but later its popularity declined as other new works were written and produced that supplanted it.

The second major work from the list (of al-Falimbānī's works) is Hidāyat as-Sālikīn fī Snlūk Maslak al-Muttaqīn (Guidance to Spiritual Seekers on following the manner of the Pious), which according to al-Falimbānī, was completed in Mecea, Tuesday, 5 Muharram 1192/3 February 1778. This treatise is a Malay translation and adaptation of al-Ghazālī's Bidāyat al-Hidāyah (The Beginning of Gnidance), being perhaps second to Sayr as-Sālikīn in terms of popularity and prevalence among the list of al-Falimbānī's works. Several reprints and editions of this text can be found, in addition to Hidāyat as-Sālikīn being widely used as a textbook for students of Ṣūlīsm in pesautren (traditional Islamic religious learning centres) up to the present day.<sup>19</sup>

Though al-Falimbānī relies heavily on al-Ghazālī's Bidāyat al-Hidāyah of course – since this is essentially a translation, it is obvious from examining the text itself'that he supplemented al-Ghazālī's original

text with his own additions taken from a variety of sources. In giving an indication of the scope of his work, al-Falimbānī mentions in his introduction (in both Arabic and Malay) that he wishes to translate into Malay the questions found in Bidāyat al-Hidāyah, with additions he deems beneficial to those who do not understand Arabic (alphabtu an utarjim masā 'ilalm ma' a ziādat masā 'il nafīsah fī hādhā al-kitāb hi-kalām al-Jāwī li-yantafī' man lā ma' rifat lalm hi-kalām al-'Arab). 30

Deeper analysis of the text makes clear that al-Falimbani based his supplements on his knowledge of numerous important Islamic works by renowned scholars of earlier generations, whom he listed in the Hidayat as-Sālikīn itself. For instance, on the topic of ethics and Sūfīsm, he refers to several books, such as al-Ghazālī's Minhāj al-'Ābidīn, Iliyā' 'Ulīnn ad-Din, Kitāb al-Arba'in fi Uşūl ad-Din, and Mukhtaşar al-lhyā', 'Abd Allah al-Haddad's an-Nasa'ih ad-Diniyyah, ash-Sha'rani's al-Yawagit wa 'l-Jawāhir, Madārij as-Sālikīu, 'Uhūd al-Muhammadiyyah, 'Uhūd al-Mashāyikh, and Durar al-Jawāhir, Ibn 'Abbād's Sharh al-Hikam, Ibn 'Atā' Allāh's al-Hikam, at-Tanwīr fī Isgāt at-Tadbīr, and Miftāli al-Falāh, as-Suhrawardī's 'Awārif al-Ma'ārif, al-Qushayrī's ar-Risālah, 'Abd al-Qādir al-'Aydarūs's ad-Durr ath-Thamīu, Ahmad al-Qushāshī's Bustān al-'Ārifīn, 'Abd al-Qādir al-Fākihī's al-Kifāyah fī Sharh Bidāyat al-Hidáyah, 'Alī al-Marsafī's Manhaj ax-Sālik ilā Ashraf al-Masālik and Abū Tālib al-Makkī's Qūt al-Qulūb.21 In addition, al-Falimbānī on three occasions cited the opinion of his teacher as-Samman from his an-Nafahât al-Hâhiyyah fi Kayfiyyat Suhik at-Tariqat al-Muhammadiyyah.22 On advising his students to use their time beneficially through the remembrance of God by diligent daily recitations of awrād (spiritual litanies), he recommends them to consult his own compilation of litanies entitled al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā wa-Silsilat al-Walī al-Atqā.23

He also includes among his sources several Shāfī'ī fiqh and hadīth books such as, Ibn Ḥajar al-Ḥaytamī's al-Minhāj al-Qawīm, Tāj ad-Dīn as-Subkī's al-Tarshīh lì-Bayān Ṣalāt al-Tashīh, Zakariyyā al-Ānṣārī's Sharḥ ar-Rawd, al-Munāwī's commentary on as-Suyūtī's al-Jāmi' aṣ-Ṣaghīr (entitled Fayd al-Qādir Sharḥ al-Jāmi' aṣ-Ṣaghīr), Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī's Iḍāṭ al-Qawābil lì 't-Taqarrub bì 'n-Nawūfil, and his own Jāwī predecessor, 'Abd ar-Ra'ūf as-Sinkīlī's 'Umdat al-Muḥtājīn (quoted twice).<sup>24</sup>

#### Islam in the Malay World

It is also important at this point to highlight that al-Falimbānī pays special attention to the figh positions of the Sūfīs, often giving supporting examples of their rulings. For instance, on the question of the four rak'ahs supererogatory prayers before the obligatory Zuhur (midday) prayer, al-Falimbānī says that these are sunnah mu'akkadah (confirmed as sunnah) in the opinion of the ahl al-taṣawwuf; He further relates that the two rak'ahs after the Maghrib (sunset) prayer are sunnah mu'akkadah according to the opinions of both the 'nlamā' as-Ṣūfīyyah and the fuqahā' (jurists). Whilst discussing the four rak'ahs supererogatory prayers after the Jum'ah congregational prayer (on Fridays), al-Falimbānī explains that the fuqahā' and the ahl al-taṣawwuf differ in that two rak'ahs are sunnah nun'akkadah and two afterwards are sunnah ghayr nun'akkadah (optional) in the judgment of the former, whereas all four or even up to six rak'ahs are sunnah mu'akkadah in the ruling of the latter.

In addition, he points out the different opinions on figh questions according to the four Sunni madhhabs. For instance, although he adheres to the Shāfi'î madhhab, on the usage of az-zabād (substance secreted by civet, used in perfumes), he says it is makrāh (disliked and discouraged) because it is najis (ritually impure) supporting his view with the opinion of the Hanbalī School of Islamic jurisprudence. This, without doubt, shows his deep and wide perceptions in the field of shari'ah law, in that he is able to broadly discuss the issue in question with ease. Perhaps this also indicates that he was broad-minded enough to consider the opinion of all four Sunni legal schools when he perceived one of them to be more acceptable to him regarding a particular issue. On one occasion in Hidāyat as-Sālikāu, he presents the opinion of the mnfīi of Medina in his time, his teacher Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Kurdī as an authoritative and reliable one (qawl mu'tamad) on the permissibility of accepting gifts from a ruler.

Upon analysing the contents of the *Hidāyat as-Sālikīn* further, it becomes clear that the text is not only a translation of al-Ghazālī's *Bidāyat al-Hīdāyah*, but has numerous additions taken from other sources. For instance, the *Hidāyat as-Sālikīn* is composed of a preface, seven chapters and conclusion, whilst al-Ghazālī's text only includes three major chapters and a conclusion. Thus, subjects found in the *Hidāyah* such as the benefits of useful knowledge (al-'ilm an-nāfi'), the benefits of those who strive to

attain it, explicit explanations of the fundamentals of the Sunni creed, the 'way' (kayfiyyah) of acts of worship for various supercrogatory prayers such as al-ishrāq (at sunrise), al-istrakhārah (making a choice), al-iduḥā (prayer at mid-morning), al-tashīḥ (glorification), al-ḥājah (prayer for requesting a need), and numerous additions on the discussion of avoiding wrongdoing (ijtināh al-ma'āyī), to name a few, are all inclusions from al-Falimbānī himself.30

It is thus clear that al-Falimbānī was not merely translating the Bidāyat al-Hidāyah but more precisely, he was rendering and transforming the work of al-Ghazālī to suit and cater for the needs of his students and to provide a better understanding to his compatriots who have little or no knowledge of Arabic as indicated in his prologue. This is reflected further in his Sayr as-Sālikīn where he refers to Bidāyat al-Hidāyah four times and strongly recommends it to the muhtadī (novice) seeking the tarīgah. He adds that it comprises all the essential components of uṣūl ad-dūn, fiqh, taṣawwuf and dhikr as well as ethics (akhlāq)."

The popularity of the *Hidāyat as-Sālikīn* is further proven not just from its wide usage in contemporary times, but also from the numerous editions and reprints that it underwent during the early publication period in the nineteenth century. This perhaps shows its popularity and its constant demand among the Malay students and the wider audience public. Throughout my research, I have been able to locate copies of several published editions of this text. These include, the earliest printed copy dated Rabî' al-Ākhir 1298/March 1881 in which the proof-reader, Ahmad b. Muhammad Zayn al-Fatānī (1856-1908), clearly indicates in the colophon that it was the first Malay text to be printed in Egypt by al-Matba'at al-Misriyyah, based on the handwritten copy of a certain Shaykh Hasan at-Tükhi, who was perhaps an Egyptian calligrapher. Five years later, in 1303/1885, al-Matba'at al-Mīriyyah in Mecca followed this by publishing their first edition of this work. On 25 Jumada al-Ula 1311/4 December 1893, a copy written by Ahmad b. Mulā Bahā' ad-Dīn, khatīb ad-Dābūl, possibly an Indian calligrapher, was printed in India in al-Matba'at al-Hasaniyyah, Bombay. In the same year, al-Matba'at al-Mīriyyah, Mecca published another edition, perhaps its second, in Jumādā ath-Thāniyah 1311/December 1893. In Egypt, an edited copy by llyās Ya'qūb al-Azharī was published by Matba'at Dār Ihyā' al-Kutub

#### Islam in the Malay World

al-'Arabiyyah in Cairo on 15 Shawwāl 1342/20 May 1924.<sup>32</sup> Thus, we can see that this epistle was printed frequently in several places. It has remained popular until today, as numerous editions, mostly transliterated from the  $J\bar{a}w\bar{t}$  text to the Romanised characters are readily available in bookstores.

It is worth noting that manuscript copies of the *Hidāyat as-Sālikīn* are abundantly available in most libraries holding Malay manuscripts."

Consulting these manuscript copies, it is evident that this text gained popularity even during the lifetime of al-Falimbānī himself. From these, I found two copies dated 19 Jumādā al-Ākhirah 1225/22 July 1810 and 15 Dhū al-Ḥijjah 1236/13 September 1821, kept in the National Library of Malaysia and Leiden, respectively. Furthermore, the latter was transcribed in Buleleng, Bali, indicating that this text was already widely circulated in the Archipelago as early as the first quarter of the nineteenth century.<sup>34</sup>

From the wide attention it received, one would expect that the Hidāyat as-Sālikīn would eatch the notice of other scholars, especially among the Jāviš since it was written in Malay. Apart from proofreading the Egyptian and Meccan publications of this text, the aforementioned Aḥmad al-Faṭānī also wrote an encomium (taqrīz) in a rhyming poem in Arabic which clearly indicates the value of the contribution of al-Falimbānī, not only in translating, but also in supplementing al-Ghazālī's work by using additional source.<sup>35</sup>

Badā najmun fa-akhjala kulla najmin \* wa-luʻlnʻin fiʻuyūni 'n-nāzirīnā

A star emerged abashing all other stars, as pearls in the eyes of observers

Fa-zamm ash-shamsa tabzughu quhu lā, dhā \* kitābu hidāyatin li 's-sālikīnā

They supposed the sun had risen, I said no, that is the book of Guidance to Spiritual Seekers

Kitābun lāḥa yahdī kulla qāfin \* sabīla al-awliyā'i al-muttaqīnā A book that gleamed, guiding all followers to the path of the pious walis

Kitābun aļīsana at-ta līfa fīhi \* al-Falimbānī imāmu aṣ-ṣāliḥīnā

A book excellently written by al-Falimbani leader of the righteous

Fa-nazzama fihi min kutub al-Ghazālī \* bi-mā qad kāna min durarin thamīnā

He arranged in it from the books of al-Ghazālī of its priceless

Wa-dhanma ilayhi mimmä fi siwähä \* yawäqita al-hudä li 'l-'ämilinä

Supplementing it from other sources, rubies of guidance for those who practice

Mutarjamatan bi-alsinati al-malāyū \* fa-kāna bi-hā kitāban mustabīnā

Translated into the tongue of the Malay, making it a clearly accessible book

Tajallā ba'da mā ṭāla ikhtifāhu \* bi-ḥusui aṭ-ṭab'i yurḍī aṭtālibīnā

Manifested after prolonged absenc with excellent printing gratifying students

Bi-himmati man samā faḍli ilāha \* 'imādi al-fakhri najli alakramīnā

Determination of who exalted by grace of God, the prop of honour, the son of the noble

Aqūlu mu'arrikhan idh tamma ṭab'an \* wa-au nithārahu fī 'l-'ālamīnā

I date it on the completion of this edition, spreading in the world like confetti

aḥḥibbāyā injalā fa-anāra bi 'ṭ-ṭab'i \* najmu hidāyatin li 's-sālikīna

my beloved beget with printing illumine star of guidance for spiritual seekers

23 85 332 114 93 420 231<sup>36</sup> wa-ṣalli wa-salliman Rabbī 'alā 'l-Mnṣṭafā \* wa 'l-āli wa 'rḥanmā

āminā

God's blessing and peace upon the Prophet and his house and God's mercy upon us, amen.

It is important to consult the works of al-Falimbani's Jāwi contemporaries and later generations to trace the attention his scholarship received and to highlight its importance in Malay scholarly literature. It is to be remembered that one of al-Falimbani's students, Nawawi al-Bantani, completed his Arabic text entitled Maraqi al-'Ubūdiyyah Shavh 'alā Bidāyat al-Hidāyah, a commentary on al-Ghazālī's Bidāyat al-Hidayah on 13 Dhu al-Oa'dah 1289/12 January 1873, almost one decade before the first printed edition of al-Falimbani's Hidayat as-Sālikīn appeared. Upon analysing the Marāqī al-'Ubūdiyyah, it is obvious that al-Bantani also benefited from the Hidayat as-Sālikin, quoting it twice as part of his Arabic commentary despite the fact that al-Falimbani's book was written in Malay. For instance, on commenting on a section from Bidāyat al-Hidāyah, "wa-lā tu' allim aḥadan min ahlik ... miqdār mālik, fainnahum in ra'awhu ... " al-Bantani points out that the word mālik is read as mā-laka i.e. what you have in terms of status (martabah) as chosen by Shaykh Yusuf as-Sinbillawayni. However, he says it can also be correctly read mālik (with a kasrah) i.e. your fortune or wealth, and this was the opinion of Shaykh 'Abd as-Samad [al-Falimbani].37 Thus, consulting such works provides us with an insight into the scholarly intellectual nexus and the transmission of knowledge from one generation of Malay scholars to another.

The third major text from the above list of al-Falimbānī's writings is Sayr as-Sālikīn ilā 'Ibādat Rabb al-'Ālamīu (Journey of the Spiritual Seekers towards Worshiping the Lord of the Universe), which is in four volumes and according to al-Falimbānī himself, was completed in four stages. He began writing the first volume in 1193/1779, which was completed in Mecca early 1194/1780, the second was completed in aṭ-Ṭā'if on Saturday, 19 Ramaḍān 1195/8 September 1781, the third was completed in Mecca on Thursday, 19 Şafar 1197/23 January 1783, and the fourth and final volume was completed in aṭ-Ṭā'if on Sunday, 20 Ramaḍān 1203/14 June 1789. Thus, the work took roughly ten years to complete.

Analysing these dates, it can be deduced that it took al-Falimbānī approximately two years to complete each of the first three volumes and a further six years before he was able to complete the final volume. However, based on my research, it is evident that he was busy with other

writing projects during the six years between completing the third and fourth volumes. I have discovered a new epistle which he was working on between completing his third and fourth volumes (1197-1203/1783-1789). At this point it is important to point out that this epistle has never been mentioned by modern researchers, clearly indicating that it has not been known to modern scholarship. As further discussion on this epistle will follow shortly, it suffices to say that al-Falimbānī was writing on Islamic Law (sharī ah) after finishing his third volume, since he completed the epistle in question in 1201/1787.

Undoubtedly, the Sayr as-Sālikīn is the most popular text and the most widely circulated of all al-Falimbāni's works in the Malay Archipelago and it is upon this that his fame is chiefly based. It is also clear that this work is the largest among his works, and like al-Ghazāli's Ihyā' on which it is primarily based, this was his magnum opus. In producing this text, al-Falimbānī followed a similar pattern as the Ilidāyat as-Sālikīn, by supplementing al-Ghazāli's original work with his own additions taken from a variety of sources, which will be discussed shortly.

On giving an account on the scope of his work, al-Falimbānī mentions in his preface that God inspired him to translate into Malay the book of al-Ghazālī entitled Lubāb lhyā "Uhām ad-Dīn (The Essentials of the Revival of the Sciences of Religion) which combines all the knowledge of sharī ah, uṣūl ad-dīn and taṣawwuf, with [his own] precious additions deemed beneficial to those who have no knowledge of Arabic." Though al-Falimbānī says that this text is a translation of al-Ghazālī's Lubāb, it is more accurate to describe it as an adaptation and rendition of it, as he includes his own expositions on al-Ghazālī's phrases to further clarify them, in addition to the substantial supplementary material taken from numerous other sources, including other works by al-Ghazālī himself.

It is important to consider the Sayr as-Sālikīn and al-Ghazāli's Lubāb together and give a brief outline of al-Falimbānī's objectives in producing this text. As indicated above, though al-Falimbānī clearly presents his work as a translation and adaptation of Lubāb Ilyā'' Ulūm ad-Dīn, the latter also known as Mukhtayar Ilyā'' Ulūm ad-Dīn (Abridgement of the Revival of the Sciences of Religion) and that he frequently mentions this name in his text, confusion still exists in modern studies which usually

assume that this work is a translation of al-Ghazālī's magnum opus Ihyā'' Ulūm ad-Dūn and not of the Lubāb or Mukhtaṣar. Upon further examination of the Sayr as-Sālkīn, it is evident that al-Falimbānī clearly distinguished between the two works of al-Ghazālī; he continuously differentiates between the Mukhtaṣar and the Ihyā'." This confusion is evidently due to the fact that the Lubāb or Mukhtaṣar of the Ihyā' did not achieve the same fame as the Ihyā' itself; most scholars have simply never been aware that al-Ghazālī himself composed an abridgement of his Ihyā'. In fact, several biographers of al-Ghazālī, such as Ḥāji Khalīfah and al-Baghdādī, and modern scholars such as Ritter wrongly attributed this work to al-Ghazālī's younger brother Aḥmad b. Muḥammad al-Ghazālī (d. 520/1126). In this regard, Ritter relates that "he [Aḥmad] wrote an abridged version of the Kitāb al-Ihyā' of his brother [i.e. Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazālī] which has not survived." "ao

I was able to locate and consult one of the earliest copies of this Mukhtasar Ihyā' in the Library of the University of Leiden. 41 This copy has printed in the margins of al-Bābī al-Halabī's Nuzhat an-Nāzirīn fī Tafsīr Āyāt min Kitāb Rabb al-'Ālamīn, as published by Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabiyyah in Egypt in 1328/1910.42 It clearly shows in the prologue that al-Ghazālī himself abridged his own magnum opus Iliyā' due to what he describes as the impracticability of carrying his voluminous work during travel. In his preface, al-Ghazālī says "it occurred to me during some of my travels to extract from lhyā' 'Uhīm ad-Dīn its pith due to the impracticability of carrying it along because of it enormous size. I embark on the task asking God to grant me success and supplicate Him for the best ..." (qad 'anna lī fī ba'd asfārī an astakhrij min lhyā' 'Uhim ad-Din lubābahu li-ta' adhdhur istishābihi ma' a kibar hajmihi, fa-aqdamtu 'alā dhālik, mustawfigan min Allāh wa-mustakhīran lahu ...).49 Thus, it is clear that al-Falimbani primarily based his translation on the Mukhtasar (abridgement) of the Iliya' and not the original multivolume Iliva'.

However, in terms of the arrangement of his text, al-Falimbānī follows al-Ghazālī's Iliyā' by dividing his work into four arbā' (quarters). The first comprises acts of worship (al-'ibādāt) dealing with creed, ritual purity, worship (salāt), other types of prayer and devotion, almsgiving, fasting, and the pilgrimage; the second quarter deals with habitual acts

or norms of daily behaviour (al-'ādāt) constituting books on proper conduct regarding eating habits, marriage, acquiring goods, travelling, and the like; the third quarter discusses mortal vices (al-muhlikāt) about destructive wrongdoings, and, after two general books (i.e. chapters) on the mysteries of the heart and how to control and educate it, the book gives counsel with regard to the various vices discussed previously. The fourth quarter, on the ways to salvation (al-munjiyāt) deals with the various stages, states and aspects of the mystical life, such as penitence, patience, grafitude, renunciation, trust in God, and love of Him. However, unlike al-l'alimbānī's Sayr as-Sālīkīn, although the arrangement in the Mukhtasar followed that of the Iḥyā', al-Ghazālī's abridgment did not follow the division into the four arhā' but only lists the contents according to chapters.

Closer analysis of the text further reveals that al-Falimbani supplemented his work with substantial additions taken from numerous important Islamic works by renowned scholars of previous generations. He mentioned these sources in various places throughout his Sayr as-Sālikīu but specifically in the third volume, when he recommends his students to consult his list of Sufi writings suitable for the three levels of competence, presenting them to match their abilities. According to al-Falimbani, these works should be read by the salikin (travellers on the mystical path) progressively, from the most basic texts for the mubtadi (the novice), to more difficult for the mutawassit (the intermediate), and finally to the most difficult and complex for the muntahi (the adept).44 At this point, it is important to remember that evidently, al-Falimbani was already teaching his students when he wrote his presumed first book, Zahrat al-Murid, in 1178/1765. However, the importance of his list45 is that it indicates that by that time he composed the list he had reached the summit of his teaching career. He did not only list these numerous Suffi writings, but evidently had read all of them as he was able to arrange them according to the different levels and aptitudes of his students. In addition, as we shall see shortly, al-Falimbani was very familiar with these works and had deep and profound knowledge and experience of tasawwuf in that he was able to provide commentary and opinions on all of these works.

To gain better insight into the additional materials he utilised, it is appropriate at this stage to give an outline of them. The number of Sufi writings that al-Falimbani lists as suitable for the varied abilities of his students comprises a total of one hundred and ten books, to be precise.46 For the mubtadi, he listed fifty-five titles, including five works by al-Ghazālī, nine works by ash-Sha'rānī, three works by 'Abd al-Qādir al-'Aydarūs, two works by Tājad-Dīn an-Nagshabandī al-Hindī and Siddīg Khān al-Madanī respectively, five works by 'Abd Allāh al-Haddād, six works each of Mustafa al-Bakri and as-Samman, one work each of Abū aţ-Tālib al-Makkī, al-Qushayrī, 'Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī, as-Suhrawardī, Qāsim al-Khānī, Zakariyyā al-Ansārī, al-Qushāshī, al-Kūrānī, and 'Abd Allāh al-Mīrghanī, plus several works by other less prominent scholars. Al-Falimbânî also includes one work by his compatriot, as-Sinkîlî's 'Umdat al-Muhtājīn and recommends three of his own works, Hidayat as-Sālikīn, Sayr as-Sālikīn and al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā as suitable for this novice level. Most of these works are primary texts comprising all the essential components of usul ad-din, figh, tasawwuf, dhikr as well as akhlāq, stressing the conformity of tarīqah with sharī'ah and the strict adherence to the latter before the sālik can achieve spiritual progress along his mystical journey.47

As for the mutawassif, al-Falimbānī lists thirty-one titles, including three works by lbn 'Aţā' Allāh, particularly his al-Įlikam and its commentaries by lbn 'Abbād, Ahmad al-Marzūqī, lbn 'Allān an-Naqshabandī and al-Qushāshī, the latter, according to al-Falimbānī was the most complex and profound commentary on al-Įlikam. He then lists a work by Raslān ad-Dimashqī wrongly titled as al-Įlikam. However, from the title of its commentary, Fath ar-Raḥmān by Zakariyyā al-Anṣārī listed next. it is clear that this was Raslān ad-Dimashqī's Risālah fī 't-Tāwḥīd. On describing the Fatḥ ar-Raḥmān, al-Falimbānī relates that this was the first text that he read with as-Sammān when he started his studies with him.<sup>40</sup>

Moreover, he mentions a commentary by 'Abd al-Ghanī an-Nābulusī on the work of Raslān. Though he does not provide any title for this commentary, it is clear that an-Nābulusī wrote a commentary on Raslān's Risālah entitled Khanrat al-Ḥān wa-Rannat al-Hhān. There is an error in Raslān's book title in al-Falimbānī's list, perhaps by the

scribe. It is worth pointing out another error, probably by the scribe, here an-Nåbulusi was described as the teacher of as-Samman (Shaykh 'Abd al-Ghanī au-Nābulusī guru shaykh kita Sīdī Muḥammad ax-Sammān).50 However, as far as I know, as-Samman had never studied directly with an-Nābulusī; in fact, he was his grand-pupil through Mustafā al-Bakrī. When an-Nābulusī died in 1731, as-Sammān was only thirteen years old. This is further supported by a note in the Sayr as-Sālikīn itself, which clearly reveals that as-Samman did not study with an-Nabulusi as he was mentioned as a student of al-Bakri, who in turn was a disciple of an-Nābulusī. In this regard, al-Falimbānī relates "... Shaykh 'Abd al-Ghanī an-Nābulusī, yaitu guru Sīdī Shaykh Mustafā al-Bakrī, yaitu guru shaykh kita Sīdī Shaykh as-Sammān" [... an-Nābulusī, a teacher of al-Bakrī, who was a teacher of as-Samman]. Al-Falimbani then completes his list by including several theological works such as an-Nābulusī's Miftāh al-Ma'iyyah fi 't-Tariqat an-Naqshabandiyyah, ash-Sha'rānī's al-Jawāhir wa 'l-Yawaqit, Muhammad Ghawth's al-Jawahir al-Khams, and several other works of al-Jīlānī, al-Bakrī, as-Sammān and Siddīg al-Madanī.

The final and highest stage that the spiritual mystical traveller can attain is the level of the muntahi, where they are exposed to the most complex works on tasawwuf, which are to an extent, controversial to non-Sufis. On top of the list for this level, consisting of twenty-four titles, are the works of Ibn al-'Arabī, which include his al-Futūhāt al-Makkiyyah, Mawāqi' an-Nujūm and Fusūs al-Hikam, with commentaries on the latter by Munlä 'Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Jāmī, an-Nābulusī and 'Alī al-Mahāyimī (or al-Mahā'imī), al-Jīlī's al-Insān al-Kāmil, al-Ghazālī's ax-Sirr al-Madnûn bi-hi 'alâ Ghayr Ahlih,52 Mishkât al-Anwâr,53 al-Maqsad al-Asuā fī Ma'nā Asmā' Allāh al-Ḥusuās and several chapters on 'ilm al-haqīgah in his Iliyā' 'Ulūm ad-Dīn, such as kitāb as-sabr, kitāb ash-shukr, kitāb al-maḥabbah, kitāb at-tawhīd and the beginning of kitāb at-tawakkul (all these are actually main chapters in the lhyā'), al-Burhanpūrī's at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah and its commentaries, including al-Kūrānī's Ithāf adlı-Dhakī and an-Nābulusī's Nukhbat al-Mas'alah Sharh at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah's and his Īdāh al-Magsūd min Ma'nā Wahdat al-Wujūd, ash-Sha'rānī's Lawāqih al-Anwār and Kashf al-Hijāb, ash-Shinnāwī's Mir'āt al-Haqā'iq and its commentary, 'Alī al-Mahāyimī's Irādat ad-Dagā'ia, and al-Kūrānī's al-Maylak al-Mukhtār fī Ma'rifat ayŞādir al-Awwal wa-Alıdāth al-'Ālam bi 'l-Ikhtiyār. Finally, al-Falimbānī includes three works of his Jāwā predecessors, as-Sumaṭrānī's Jawhar al-Įlagā'iq and Tanbīh aļ-Ṭullāb fī Ma'rifat al-Mahik al-Wahhāb, and as-Sinkili's Ta'yūd al-Bayān fi Talıqūq Masū'il al-A'yūn, and concluding the list with his own work, Zād al-Mutuaqīn fī Tawhīd Rabb al-'Ālamūn.

It is important to remark that from the above lists, it is evident that al-Falimbānī himself had attained the highest level of the *vālikīn*; firstly, because he was allowed to read such advanced works with his teachers; secondly, fully grasping their contents, he was able to categorise them and recommend them further to other *muntahī*; and thirdly and most significantly, he was competent in teaching these works to his students and in composing a work in the most advanced category; and finally, he was able to give his opinion regarding which among these works are more complicated or superior than the others. This is evident, for instance from his comments on the commentaries of al-Burhānpūrī's *at-Tuhfat* when he relates "... *at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah* by al-Burhānpūrī and its commentaries by al-Kūrānī, and *Nukhbat al-Max'alah Sharh at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah* by an-Nābulusī... and several other commentaries which I read. However, the commentary by an-Nābulusī was the best out of all the commentaries? I had seen." "60

Thus, with supplementary material excerpted from these writings, one can expect that his Sayr as-Sālikīn was far from being merely a translation of al-Ghazālī's work. Moreover, it should be noted that these were not the only books al-Falimbānī utilised for his comments as he clearly mentioned, for instance on questions relating to fiqh, he alludes to a number of fiqh books which he consulted and quoted but without naming them.<sup>55</sup> In addition to the above list of taşawwuf books recommended for the muhadī, he points out there are yet several other books suitable for this stage but does not name them, explaining that the list he provided (fifty-five titles) is more than sufficient for them.<sup>58</sup>

A particularly important point that we can deduce from analysing this list, is that al-Falimbānī was fully aware that his works, including his Sayr as-Sālikīn would eventually be accessible not only to his students in Mecca but also to his compatriots back in the Malay Archipelago. Realising this, he took the opportunity to list almost all major books

of tasawwaf (one hundred and ten titles) arranging them into three levels suitable for the varied abilities of his students, and at the same time, indirectly telling the Jāwī learners which of these works were to be read and which were to be reserved only for the elite, as they were to be studied sequentially. This hypothesis perhaps finds its support by looking back at the earlier three distinct categories of people from the Malay Archipelago with whom al-Falimbânî disagrees in his Zahrat al-Murid. Thus, by understanding and being fully aware of the relevant books appropriate for both reading and teaching according to the three levels of competency, the Muslim public would be guided in their daily religious life and guarded from deviant teachings of the ignorant. Further evidence can be extracted from the Sayr ax-Sālikīn itself as al-Falimbānī advise and warn saying "however, the tasawwuf teachings included in the discussion of the 'ilm al-haqiqah from the books for the third level [the muntahi] are of no benefit to others, save the muntahi; conversely, the mubiadi who had not fully comprehend the shari ah and the aspect of tarigah, might be led astray or even to heresy by such works."59 This is perhaps the most logical reason why al-Falimbani included three works from his Jāwī predecessors among the books for the muntahī, indicating that they should not be read save by the adepts.

Without properly consulting the Sayr as-Sālikīn and fully understanding the nature of al-Falimbani's works, this has often led modern scholars to accuse him of smuggling ideas and teachings alien to al-Ghazālī into his work. Among such scholars, is our contemporary Abdul Fatah Haron, who claims that al-Falimbānī's Sayr as-Sālikīn is confusing as it does not accord with the content of al-Ghazālī's llivā', with numerous additions from unnamed sources unavailable in the latter.60 The Dutch scholar, Martin van Bruinessen also maintains that al-Falimbānī successfully smuggled into his Malay adaptations of al-Ghazălî's works some of the rejected doctrines, especially in his Sayr as-Sālikīn, such as elements of waḥdat al-wujūd taken from other sources, which seemed, according to van Bruinessen, quite alien to al-Ghazālī's Sunni mysticism.61 However, this was not the case, as shown above; al-Falimbanī himself clearly points out that aside from translating the works of al-Ghazālī, he did not 'smuggle,' but supplemented his work with invaluable additions that he deemed beneficial, particularly to those

who have little or no command of Arabic, and furthermore that these additional sources were clearly enumerated in his text.

An example of such additions which al-Falimbani deemed beneficial was the discussion on magamat an-nafs as-sab' ah or 'the seven stages of the soul' which is portrayed as a journey of the soul within oneself to the Absolute and to the attainment of perfection. 62 As well as the Sūfī doctrine of martabat tujuh or al-maratib as-sab'ah (the seven grades of being).63 Deeper analysis of the text makes it clear that al-Falimbani based his discussion on his knowledge of numerous important Sūfī literatures such as Qåsim al-Khānî's as-Sayr wa 's-Sulūk further expanding al-Ghazālī's existing three stages into magamat an-nafs as-sab'ah, 64 and quotes as authoritative sources al-Burhänpüri's at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah, and works by Mustafā al-Bakrī, and as-Sammān for his discussion on martabat tujuh.65 In fact, al-Ghazālī himself alludes to this issue in his discussion of the four levels of tawhid in his book (or chapter) on tawakkul. However, he purposely does not elaborate profoundly as he says that it is impossible to reveal such secrets, further quoting a Sufi phrase "revealing the Divine secret is unbelief" (ifshā' sirr ar-rubūbiyyah kufr).66

Such confusion is again evident to those who do not comprehend or might be worried about the intended mystical meaning of \$\tilde{y}\tilde{u}\tilde{f}\tilde

However, on consulting this work, it is clear that al-Minkābāwī himself did not oppose the teaching of martabat tujnh. He relates, "I, a destitute and weak person Aḥmad Khaṭīb al-Minkābāwī ... the Imām and Khaṭīb (orator) of the Shāfi'ī shrine (al-Maqām ash-Shāfi'ī), who lectures

at al-Masjid al-Haram says, in 1328/1910 a question posed and sent from the Archipelago regarding a short epistle attributed to al-Ghazālī on the question of martabat tujuh, requesting clarification whether it was his work or not, and whether the contents were sound or not, as the masses of the Jāwis had strayed in believing that this was the esoteric knowledge or 'ilm al-bātin according to the perception of sharī'ah and further believing that whoever did not study this text is prone to die in kufr, a reason that leads the ignorant to diligently learn this work. Upon my perusal, I found the contents were lies, none were teachings of al-Ghazālī or the scholars of Islam, and generally it contains blasphemy to words of God and His Prophet which leads to the hell fire."68 Such teaching, according to al-Minkābāwī, was adopted from the Sūfī doctrine of wahdat al-wujūd by the ignorant who do not even understand the teaching at all, and do not grasp the meaning of the terminologies understood only by the adepts.69 Thus, al-Minkābāwī did not reject the Sūfī teachings of martabat tujuh, however, he stresses that such texts contains terminologies which can only be comprehended by those who had attained the specific stages, and reminds the masses that such knowledge is only apprehended by those who comply with and fully adhere to the shari'ah. 70

It is important to recall al-Ghazālī's own opinion on the Sūfīs so that we are aware of and able to compare the perception that al-Falimbānī had of them. In describing the ways of taṣawwuf, al-Ghazālī says "I knew that the complete mystic 'way' includes both intellectual belief and practical activity; the latter consists in getting rid of the obstacles in the self and in stripping off its base characteristics and vicious morals, so that the heart may attain to freedom from what is not God and to constant recollection of Him."

According to al-Ghazālī, though he advanced to the highest possible comprehension of the intellectual side of Ṣūfīsm by acquainting himself through reading books such as Abū Ṭālib al-Makkī's Qūt al-Qulūb, the works of al-Ḥārith al-Muḥāsibī, the various narratives about al-Junayd, ash-Shiblī and Abū Yazīd al-Bistāmī, and other discourses of their leading men, plus by oral instruction in the knowledge of taṣawwuf, he confessed that what is most distinctive of taṣawwuf is something which cannot be apprehended by study, but rather only by dhawq (immediate experience), by ecstasy and by a moral change. On realising this, al-Ghazālī without

hesitation shows his advocacy by pointing out that the Sūfīs were men who had real experiences, not men of words, and that he had progressed to the furthest possible stage by way of intellectual apprehension. What remained was not to be attained by oral instruction and study, but only by dhamq and by walking in the Sūfīs way.<sup>75</sup>

Al-Ghazālī's own words clearly reveal that although he does not proclaim the Sufi doctrine of wahdat al-wujud directly, he indicates that he supports and believes in it. In his Iliva, on the discussion of different awrād according to different circumstances, he says, "let it be known that the novice disciple (al-murid) who cultivates for the Hereafter, the traveller on its path, can only be in one of these six states: he is either a worshipper, or a savant, or a learner, or a leader, or a practitioner, or a muwahhid (adherent of the absolute Oneness) absorbed in the One and only Eternal God ... the sixth: the muwahhid absorbed in the One and only Eternal God whose concerns have become a single concern, he does not love save God, does not fear except God, does not anticipate livelihood from other than God, does not see anything but sees God Most High in it. Those who attained this high stage, do not need a variety or range of awrād, rather his special wird after his five daily prayers (al-maktūbāt), is a single formula, which is the continuous presence of his heart with God in every state all the time."74

This comes quite close to elements of wahdat al-wujūd. Thus, to understand al-Falimbānī and his teachings better, we should place him in this context, fully aware that he must have attained these stages, which are only known to and experienced by the Sūfis not only by way of intellectual apprehension but also through spiritual experience (dhava).

To highlight further al-Falimbānī's contributions to the Malay intellectual life, we next turn to the history of the Archipelago. Before the eighteenth century, al-Ghazālī was known to some extent to the Malay Archipelago through citations of his works occasionally in the writings of al-Falimbānī's predecessors. However, it was not until the eighteenth century that al-Ghazālī was widely introduced to the Malay audience when al-Falimbānī became the first Jāwī scholar to fully translate two of his works, Bidāyat al-Hidāyah and Lubāh or Mukhtaṣar Iḥyā'' Ulūm ad-Dīn. With these translations, not only did al-Falimbānī place al-Ghazālī and his works in the highest stature for Malay Ṣūfīsm, but he

also indirectly made such works readily available to the masses in the Archipelago. In fact, through his Hidāyat as-Sālikīu and Sayr as-Sālikīu, al-Falimbānī further illustrates to the ignorant and those who had been led astray, the orthodox Ṣūfī teachings of al-Ghazālī which harmonise and are in accord with the sound teachings of those profound Ṣūfī masters often accused of unorthodoxy such as Ibn al-'Arabī, al-Jīlī, al-Hallāj, al-Jīlānī, al-Junayd al-Baghdādī, and his Jūwī predecessors such as as-Sumaṭrānī and as-Sinkīlī. At the same time, he introduces the moderate approach of al-Ghazālī whose ethics preponderates over the occult elements of taṣāwwuf and further stresses the importance of compliance with the sharī ah law and conformity with religious observances; in this way al-Falimbānī strives to pull the masses back into the mainstream of sound taṣāwwuf teachings.

Thus, it is clear that his translating al-Ghazālī's works, let alone his own rendering and additions, is more than enough evidence to highlight one of al-Falimbānī major contributions to the intellectual spiritual development of the Jāwīs, moulding the socio-religious life in the Malay Archipelago. Moreover, the numerous additions to his translations indirectly bring the rich Islamic scholarly works to the Malay world, which were previously not easily accessible or even unknown to them. Hence, al-Falimbānī's works, especially the Sayr as-Sālikīn and Hlidāyat as-Sālikīn, should be credited as the causal agents that brought the influence of al-Ghazālī into the Malay world particularly through his Hnyā' and his other works.

On consulting the writings of al-Falimbānī's Jāwī contemporaries and later generations, it is evident that his pioneering effort in introducing the works of al-Ghazālī to the Malay Archipelago was then followed by later scholars. For instance, Dāwūd al-Fatān accomplished his own Malay translation of al-Ghazālī's Minhāj al-ʿābidīu entitled Minhāj al-ʿābidīu ilā Jannat Rabb al-ʿālamīu on Friday, 15 Jumādā al-Ākhirah 1240/4 February 1825, almost four decades after al-Falimbānī completed his rendering of al-Ghazālī's Lubāb al-Ilīyā'.75

Since the Sayr as-Sālikīn was al-Falimbānī's magnum opus, one can expect that its popularity and circulation is wider than any of his other works. Evidently, this text was his most popular and enjoyed the most prevalence among his works. Up to the present time, numerous

manuscript copies are preserved in different libraries holding Malay collections, in addition, several publications and modern editions rendered into Romanised text are readily available in bookstores. Furthermore, the Sayr as-Sālikīn is still widely used as a textbook in pesantren in Malaysia and Indonesia to the present day.76 The earliest edition that I was able to locate was printed in 1306/1888 by Mahmūd al-Labani al-Makki, a lithographic print from a hand written copy by Shaykh Ahmad b Yusuf al-Ashi in 1294/1877, who resided in Da'irat Oushāshiyah (Oushāshi Circle) in Mecca. This was then followed by the first edition published in Bûlâq, Egypt by al-Matba'at al-Amîriyyah in 1309/1891 77 In addition, Drewes mentioned another edition printed in Cairo in 1372/1952.78 More recent editions were published in Indonesia, Singapore, Thailand and Malaysia which are still widely circulated and readily available in bookstores. This proves that it has not fallen into disuse. Moreover, this text is now widely published in its Romanised edition to penetrate a larger audience, particularly those who cannot read the original Jāwī text. It is worth noting that among the manuscript copies that I have sighted, there is a copy written in Mecca dated 4 Rabi' al-Awwal 1211/6 September 1796. Looking at the date, this copy was made within less then eight years after al-Falimbani completed his final volume (in Ramadan 1203/June 1789). Further, this copy was written during the lifetime of al-Falimbani and perhaps the scribe himself was one of his students, though further research needs to be done.

The fourth text from al-Falimbānī's list of writings is al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā wa-Silsilat al-Walī al-Atqā (The Firmest Bond and the Genealogical Spiritual Chain of the Most Pious Saint). Though this text is undated, al-Falimbāni himself cited it twice in his Hidāyat as-Sālikīn and once in his Sayr as-Sālikīn. To From this, we can deduce that it must have been written before 1192/1778, the year he completed the Hidāyat as-Sālikīn.

This text share one characteristic with a small number of al-Falimbānī's other writings in that there is no date or place of completion. A number of questions are raised regarding this especially when looking at al-Falimbānī's meticulous practice of dating and citing of sources. All of the undated texts except one are collections of litanies and supplications, which internal evidence suggests he received directly from as-Sammān

himself. We know from his earliest writing, Zahrat al-Murīd that his practice was to date his work from the beginning of his writing career. We also know that he continued to date his writings after meeting as-Sammān. In short, his later writings are dated and all mention as-Sammān. Why didn't he date 'Urwat al-Wuhqā and his other writings?

The first clue is that in his Sayr as-Sālikīn, al-Falimbānī describes the collection of litanies as being received directly from the teachings of as-Samman. The second clue is from 'Urwat al-Wuthqa itself when he mentions in the introduction that he received this compilation from as-Samman in the context of making the pledge of allegiance (bay'ah) to his teacher and by direct oral transmission (talqin).81 The third clue is that this compilation and the other undated writings are not intellectual in nature; they are simply collections of litanies and supplications for use in daily practise. For someone who had begun to establish himself as a scholar, such a person would not circulate and publish a simple collection of awrād meant for his personal use. Thus, I theorise that he must have written it in Medina during his period of study with as-Samman, 1181-86/1766-72, most likely for his personal use when he was advancing in his spiritual journey Perhaps, this is evident in the silsilah of the previously mentioned manuscript copy of this text which shows that his student, Mahmud [b. Kinan al-Falimbani] handed it down further to his student, Sulayman of Lambirah, Aceh, 82 obviously written by al-Falimbani's grand-pupil.

At this point, it suffices to say that the al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā consists of kayfīyyat talqīn and bay'ah, awrād of as-Sammān to be recited after 'ishā' and subh, hīzh an-Nawawī, the acclaimed compilations of dn'ā' and litanies by Imām an-Nawawī, the hizh al-bahr by ash-Shādhili, wird as-sahar (midnight litany) by Muṣṭafā al-Bakrī, and finally a mubhijah (delightful litany) attributed to as-Sammān. It is also evident from this text that al-Falimbānī inclines towards taṣawwɪf as he gives emphasis to the figh positions of the Ṣūfīs. For instance, on the question of the tahajjnd prayer (the late-night supererogatory prayer), al-Falimbānī says it is sunnah mu 'akkadah in the opinion of the Shāfī' madhhab, conversely, it is wājih (obligatory) to the Ṣūfīs (ahl aṭ-tarīqah).\*4

It is worth mentioning that in the al- 'Urwat al-Wuthqa', al-Falimbani' provides his silsilah for the Khalwatiyyah Sammaniyyah Order, showing his spiritual pedigree started with as-Sammān, followed by al-Bakrī, then back to numerous \$\int\_{ii}^{ij}\$ Masters such as Mamshād ad-Dīnawārī, al-Junayd al-Baghdādī, as-Sarī as-Saqatī, Ma'rūf al-Karkhī, Dāwūd at-Jīa'ī, and al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, and back to 'Alī, to the Prophet SAW, to Jibrīl (Gabriel) and to God, the Most Exalted; counting thirty-six intermediaries between al-Falimbānī and the Prophet SAW himself. However, by comparing this silsilah with those recorded in Sayr as-Sāhkīn, as-Sammān's and al-Ḥifnī's silsilah, which should accord as both received the same Order from the same al-Bakrī, I found at least three names were missing in al-Falimbānī's silsilah, which can be corrected by comparing all these sources. I's It is to be remembered that in terms of hadīth narrations, this chain of Khalwatiyyah silsilah, aecording to al-Fādānī is not reliable as it was strongly criticised by leading muhaddiths such as adh-Dhahabī, al-Mizzī, Ibn as-Salāh, al-'Aļā'ī and Ibn Kathīr. In al-Fādānī is not reliable as it was strongly criticised by leading muhaddiths such as adh-Dhahabī, al-Mizzī, Ibn as-Salāh, al-'Aļā'ī and Ibn Kathīr. In al-Fādānī is not reliable as it was strongly criticised by leading muhaddiths such as adh-Dhahabī, al-Mizzī, Ibn as-Salāh, al-'Aļā'ī and Ibn Kathīr. In al-Fādānī is not reliable as it was strongly criticised by leading muhaddiths such as adh-Dhahabī, al-Mizzī, Ibn as-Salāh, al-'Aļā'ī and Ibn Kathīr. In al-Fadānī is not reliable as it was strongly criticised by leading muhaddiths such sa adh-Dhahabī, al-Mizzī, Ibn as-Salāh, al-'Aļā'ī and Ibn Kathīr.

According to al-Falimbānī, al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā was written in Arabic.<sup>87</sup> However, none of the existing manuscript copies known thus far are in Arabic; all are written in Jāwī. Further description of the Arabic al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā by al-Falimbānī indicates that it contained hadīth on the merits of dhikr and the call to increase it.<sup>88</sup> which completely differs from the aforementioned contents. Thus, perhaps it must have been another work carrying a similar title or an addendum to the existing text.

The fifth text from al-Falimbānī's above listed writings is Rātib [ash-|Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbānī (Regular Invocations of Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbānī). This text is the second undated writing of al-Falimbānī. The only manuscript copy available thus far is evidently copied after his death as it includes kūifiyyat zlyārat as-Sammān and 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbānī, which refers to the prayers to be read for them when visiting their graves and also includes the dates of their hawl (anniversary of the death). Furthermore, as already mentioned earlier, the unnamed scribe refers to al-Falimbānī as shaykhunā (our teacher) and dates this copy on Friday, 27 Rajab 1266/8 June 1850.<sup>59</sup>

As for the contents, the Rātib is a compilation of regular voluntary invocations from selections of the Qur'an, the Prophetic traditions selected from the hadīth, and special litanies to be recited a certain number of times. It can be recited individually or in a majlis (assembly)

of dhikr. It also includes awrād received from as-Sammān to be recited after each of the five daily prayers (Subla, Zuhur, 'Agr, Maghrib and 'Ishā'), and the aforementioned hizb al-bahr and hizb an-Nawawi." It is worth noting that just by comparing the size, the contents of the Rātib is more comprehensive than al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā, as they are written in eighty-seven and forty-one folios, respectively. As this is merely a compilation, it does not tell us much about the intellectual nature of 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbāni. However, the Rātib itself can perhaps help further to accentuate the stature of as-Sammān as a Ṣūfī master and perpetuate him in later generations.

The sixth title from the above listed writings of al-Falimbānī is Naṣīḥat al-Muslimīn wa-Tadhkirat al-Muslimīn fī Faḍā il al-Jihād fī Sabīl Allāh (Counsel to the Muslims and Reminder for the Believers on the Virtue of Jihād and the Dignity of the Fighters in the way of God), a fully Arabic work which, according to al-Falimbānī himself, was completed in Mecca. However, due to obliteration of the last two words the date of completion is illegible and only read: "yawm as-sabī khāmis wa-'ishrīn min shahr Jumādā al-Člā al-muhārak sanat alf wa-mi'ah ..." (Saturday, 25 Jumādā al-Člā 11...). However, by calculation, I was able to figure the date as the 25 Jumādā al-Ūlā to 1187 which falls on Saturday. Therefore, this text was completed in Mecca, on Saturday, 25 Jumādā al-Ūlā 1187/14 August 1773 and evidently, the first dated work that tells us he was already a student of as-Sammān by this time.

With this date, we now have a better visualisation of al-Falimbānī's life and activities in Arabia. Since the discovery of the earlier mentioned two letters written by al-Falimbānī in Mecea, intended for the two Javanese princes which were intercepted by the Dutch authorities in Semarang, Indonesia, there have been no new findings on al-Falimbānī. Though Drewes provides us with the English translation of these letters, we do not have the originals of these letters since the discovered copies were the Dutch renditions of the Javanese translations of the original Arabic letters <sup>51</sup> Presumably both the Arabic and Javanese copies were destroyed and had never reached the intended princes. In addition, we cannot be certain that the translations were not influenced by preconceived ideas

of the colonial authorities as the issue dealt with was a delicate matter to the Colonising Dutch Government.

At this point, it is important to note that from the evidence that al-Falimbānī corresponds with the rulers of Java, Drewes unhesitatingly concluded that the anonymous treatise entitled *Tuhfat ar-Raghibin* was the work of al-Falimbānī on the behest of the Sultān of Palembang. However, as we shall see shortly, my research has found that both Voorhoeve and Drewes wrongfully attributed this text to him.

According to Drewes, the letters were translated in Semarang on 22 May 1772 [19 Şafar 1186]. 22 Thus, we can assume that al-Falimbani wrote and dispatched these letters from Mecca at least several months earlier. It is probably because of his deep concern for his homeland, as I had shown earlier, that al-Falimbani travelled back to the Malay Archipelago and arrived in Ramadan 1186/November 1772. However, based on the above new date of the completion of the Nasihat al-Muslimin, evidently he did not stay long. This, as I theorise, was perhaps due to intercepted letters that indicate his movement was constantly monitored by the Dutch. Reasonably, for his dissatisfaction with the Dutch encroachment, the treatment he received during his stay and concern for his homeland had probably inspired him to write this text upon his return to Mecca. Otherwise, the question can be asked as to what made al-Falimbani suddenly write on jihād when we know that at this time he was inclining more towards Sūfīsm through his adherence to as-Sammān. On deeper analysis however, it suffices to say that evidently as-Samman had never promoted any revivalist or reformist ideas through his works and teachings.

The Naṣiḥat al-Muslimin has always been characterised by the Dutch as an invocation or incitement to jihād by fervent admonitions to holy war against infidels, so that Voorhoeve even described jihād as one of al-Falimbāni's 'specialities' '93 Snouck Hurgronje maintains that this text had influenced the Achenese author, Nya' Aḥmad to compose a hikāyat of two thousand verses entitled Nasihat Urenāng Muprang in August 1894, which according to Snouck is a fanatical exhortation of all believers, in particular the Achenese, to launeh jihād against all unbelievers including the Dutch. He adds that Nya' Aḥmad ranked this as the highest religious obligation and considered the reward of jihād as

greater than any other good deed, although if one's niyyah (intention) is not free from the taint of worldly motives. However, I could not verify this claim as I have not found a copy of this work. Further research should be done to critically analyse the content of this text as to what extent he did use al-l'alimbān's work as his source.

On the contrary, one can certainly argue that the general sense of dissatisfaction with conditions at the time, especially the interference of Western powers, particularly the Dutch East Indies Company in the Malay Archipelago, and a sense of hope for improvement and the courage of patriotism, was a more reasonable factor to inspire al-Falimbānī to write the Naṣāḥat al-Muslimān. Furthermore, this text is perhaps more appropriately considered a representation of al-Falimbānī's lament on the current political situation in the Archipelago, rather than a 'fanatical' call to jihād. It also indicates the growing repulsive stance of the 'ulamā' against the Dutch regime as their presence in the Archipelago, though initially was solely for trade, later due to greed of monopolising and controlling the spice trade, had changed into efforts to subject the Jāwā archipelago to colonial rule. 95

Although the Nasihat al-Muslimin was mentioned by everyone including Brockelmann above, it is clear that most scholars did not consult the text thoroughly, if at all. 96 At this point, it is important to point out that the only two known copies of this text exist in its manuscript form; both in Jakarta National Library. 97 I have primarily based my reading and research on the Leiden microfilm copy, a duplicate of one of these copies.98 If earlier modern scholars had consulted the text, they would have seen that the contents were primarily excerpts from the Qur'an and the Prophetic SAW traditions quoting from numerous hadith sources including al-Bukhārī, at-Tirmidhī, Muslim, Abū Dāwūd, an-Nasā'ī, Ibn Hibban, Ibn Mājah, al-'Uqaylī (perhaps from his ad-Du'afā'al-Kabīr), Ahmad Ibn Hanbal, at-Tabarānī, al-Hākim, as-Suyūtī's Hāshiyah 'alā Sunan an-Nasā'ī, interpretation of Qur'ānic verses from Tafsīr al-Baydāwī and Tafsīr al-Manāwī, and other traditional works dealing with this subject, including ash-Sha'rani's Kashf al-Ghummah, an-Nawawi's Minhāj [at-Tālibīu], Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalānī's Fath al-Bārī cited twice, and Abû Nu'aym's Hilyat [al-Awliya' wa-Tabagat al-Asfiya'].99

Close analysis of the text shows that al-Falimbānī explicitly clarifies the law of jihād, quoting an-Nawawī's Minhāj as an authoritative source, saying that if the kufjār occupied the land of Islam with the intention of destruction, jihād at that instant becomes an individual obligation (... yakūn al-kufjār dakhalū baldat al-Islām qāṣidīn kharābah, fa 'l-jihād hīna idhin fard 'ayn...). 100 Furthermore, al-Falimbānī clearly points out in his sepilogue (khātīmah) that most of the hadūhs in his Naṣīḥat al-Muslimīn were excerpts from as-Suyūṭī's al-Jāmi' aṣ-Ṣaghīr, a collection of ḥadīth qawlī (sayings of the Prophet SAW) of his Jam' al-Jawāmi', with the addition of a number of hadīth qawlī omitted from that work, 'Abd al-Wahhāb ash-Sha'rānī's Kashf al-Ghunmah, 'an Jamī' al-Ummah (Unveiling the Sorrow off the Whole Community), and principally relying on Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī's Maslak ar-Rashād ilā 'l-Aḥādīth al-Wāridah fī Fadl al-Jihād (The Guided Path on the Ḥadīths Narrated on the merit of Jihādh, 101

Thus, from the above discussion I should like to argue that this text is not necessarily a series of fervent admonitions or fanatical exhortations to war against infidels but was rather a work of a scholar who was concerned about the aggression of intruders into his homeland (and Islamic lands generally) and simply compiling Islamic texts and explaining them to his people. If the latter was the case, then certainly this was among his contributions towards the intellectual development of life in the Malay Archipelago and an indication of his concern about the current political situation there. At the same time, it also highlights his deep concern and sense of responsibility for his Jānā compatriots.

Perhaps other evidence indicating that there is a general sense of dissatisfaction with conditions as they were and a sense of hope for improvement can be seen from the writings of later generations of Jāwā scholars. For instance, Dāwūd al-Faṭānī also wrote explicitly on the topic of jihād. Though, unlike al-Faṭāmā also wrote explicitly on the topic of jihād. Though, unlike al-Faṭāmā also wrote explicitly on jihād, but he included a lengthy chapter [kitāb al-jihād] in his work discussing its legality and obligation according to the Islamic law. In one of his later dated works, the Sullam al-Mubtadī, completed in Mecca on [3 Shawwāl 1252/2] January 1837, Dāwūd al-Faṭānī clarifies the rules of jihād stating the following:

A book clarifying the rules of jihād. The jihād became obligatory after the migration of the Prophet SAW to Medina. It is a communal obligation for the Muslims to engage in jihad every year, against the aggressions of the infidel if they are in their own land; if this is fulfilled by any of the Muslims, the rest are exempted from such obligation. On the other hand, if the infidels transgress by seizing Muslim lands, at that instant, the jihād becomes an individual obligation for every Muslim. It is the duty of every individual to rebut with whatever means possible, and it becomes obligatory for their neighbours to assist them in repulsing their enemy ... (Kitab pada menyatakan perang sabil. Maka adalah dituntut akan dia kemudian daripada berpindah Nabi SAW ke Madinah. Jika adalah mereka itu kafir pada negerinya, maka perang akan dia fardu kifayah atas segala muslimin pada tiap-tiap tahun, maka jika ada yang mengerjakan itu, orang yang ada mereka itu ahli kifayah, gugur dosanya atas orang yang lainnya; dan kedua, jika masuk mereka itu kepada negeri kita, maka tatkala itu wajib jihad atas mereka itu. Wajib ahli negeri itu menolakkan mereka itu sebolehbolehnya dan wajib segala negeri yang hampir dengan mereka itu menolakkan dia ...).103

The last of the currently known writings of al-Falimbānī, is Zād al-Muttaqīn fī Tāwḥīd Rabh al-'Alanīn (Sustenance for the Pious on the Oneness of the Lord of the Universe), already mentioned earlier. [103] As noted above, Quzwain was the first to add this title to the growing list of al-Falimbānī's writings; however, he stated that no copy of it had been found. [104] However, I was able to discover the only manuscript copy, thus far, of this text and it clearly indicates that the scribe was one of al-Falimbānī's disciples in Mecca as he refers to him as 'mavdānā wa-ustādhunā ash-Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad ... fī Makkah,' though the scribe himself does not mention his own name, nor give any date of completion. [105]

The Zād al-Muttaqīn is undated, however, al-Falimbānī quoted it twice in his Sayr as-Sālikīn which helps us to establish roughly the date it was written. <sup>106</sup> He states that he wrote this work as an exposition of the doctrine of walpdat al-wujūd based on the first teachings he received from as-Sammān on this subject. He tells us further that after completion of this text, perhaps upon the instruction of as-Sammān, he read it to

Şiddiq b. 'Umar Khân al-Madanî who then named it Zād al-Muttaqîn fi Tawhid Rabb al-'Alamin. 107 Thus, we can conclude that he wrote it while he was studying with as-Sammān during his five year sojourn in Medina (1181-86/1766-72), and possibly in the early period of his study. This also indicates that al-Falimbānī was already a competent student who was permitted and able to learn the complex and advanced topics of Sūfism upon meeting him.

It is fortunate that not only can we confirm the attribution of this work to al-Falimbānī from the text itself, but also it precisely accords with the prologue of this text included in his Sayr as-Sālikīn. <sup>108</sup> Without doubt, the Zād al-Muttaqīn was his most significant writing on the doctrine of wahdat al-wujūd, which summarises his advanced taṣawwuftraining and clarifies this complex Sūfi doctrine. Fully aware of the possibility that this text and its like might lead to intellectual and religious confusion, al-Falimbānī clearly warns the novice (mubtadī) not to read such works, and explains further that without proper knowledge, such works might lead them astray or even to heresy. <sup>109</sup> Therefore, the Zād al-Muttaqīn was reserved for his adept disciples, which perhaps explains the rarity of its copy, since it was not widely circulated.

This further finds support in the scholarly writings of later generations such as Sayyid 'Uthmān the nufīt of Batavia who relates that in conformity with the opinion of scholars of exoteric and esoteric sciences, and scholars of sharī ah and hanīqah, he forbids the reading of \$\tilde{Sift}\$ texts that contain ambiguous phrases indicative of terminologies only comprehended by them, such as the question of wahdat al-wujīd and the like, found in such books as al-Hikam al-'Atā 'iyyah, al-Futūḥāt al-Makiyyah, and others ... its meaning cannot be perceived save by those who have reached their standing and tasted it by dhawq ... the cause of such prohibition is the inability of the uninitiated to comprehend their phrases and their intended meaning, this leading the general reader to atheism (ilḥād), [ideas of] divine incarnation (huhīt) and of mystic union with God (intihād).

Such a view is also supported by other proponents of Sūfī mysticism such as 'Abd al-Ḥamīd Quds in his exposition of as-Sammān's qaṣīdah where he points out that numerous scholars have warned students against reading the profound teachings of waḥdat al-wujūd and the works of Ṣūfī

masters such as Ibn al-'Arabī's al-Fuūḥāt al-Makkiyyah and his Fuṣūṣ al-Ḥikam, albeit holding the opinion that he was one of the greatest walī and knower of God (min akābir al-awliyā' al-'Ārifīn). Furthermore he supports his opinion by quoting as authoritative sources as-Suyūṭī and Ibn Ḥajar al-Ḥaytamī's al-Fatāwā al-Ḥadūhiyyah, where the latter explains that this was only because of its "high complexity beyond the understanding of the masses and the ambiguity of its meaning in the minds of many" (li-'ulnwihā 'an fahm al-'awām wa-glunmūḍ ma'ānīhā 'an kathīr niin 'I-fuhūn).<sup>111</sup>

Though al-Falimbani tells us that his text was based on the first teachings on walidat al-wujud by as-Samman, it does not mean that he literally reproduced his teacher's work. This is clear from several points: firstly, if we recall the works written by as-Samman, none of his titles were specifically on wahdat al-wujūd. Secondly, as in al-Falimbānī's Zahrat al-Murid, it was his method to take notes from the lectures he attended based on his own understanding, thus usually including his own additions; thirdly, the major Sūfī works he listed for the adepts include several works on wahdat al-wujūd such as an-Nābulusī's Īdāh al-Magsūd and Nukhbat al-Mas'alah. It is highly probable that he read such works with as-Samman as he was, according to al-Falimbani, the highest quitb of his time. Finally, further research shows that several of his teachers and his grand-teachers (teachers of his teachers) had also written on the doctrine of wahdat al-wujūd such as 'Atā' Allāh al-Misrī's Nafhat al-Jūd fi Waļīdat al-Wujūd, 'Abd ar-Raḥmān al-'Aydarūs's Laṭā'if al-Jūd fī Mas'alat Wahdat al-Wujūd and Faydat an-Nafahāt fī Mas'alat as-Sifāt, Ahmad al-Jawhari's Fayd al-'Alī al-Wadūd fī Taḥqīq Mas'alat al-Wujūd, Mustafā al-Bakrī's Wahdat al-Wujūd: al- Hal wa 'l-Asbāb and Mawrid al-'Adhb li-Dhī 'l-Wurūd fī Kashf Ma' nā Wahdat al-Wujūd, Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī's Matla' al-Jūd fī Taḥqīq at-Tanzīh fī Waḥdat al-Wujūd and an-Nābulusī's az-Zill al-Mamchād fī Ma' nā Wahdat al-Wujād in addition to his two aforementioned titles. Thus, at this stage, it suffices to say that the Zād al-Muttaqīn must contain some of his own additions, or at least adaptations from his own readings, though further research needs to be done. Finally, it is important to highlight that the wahdat al-wujūd al-Falimbani professes is totally in conformity with the shari ah and usul ad-din as it clearly rejects the notion of hulfil and ittihad. 112

## Al-Falimbānī's Previous Unknown Writings

At this stage, it is necessary to reiterate, as already indicated in passing in our discussion of categories of sources consulted for this study, the importance of surveying the catalogues of oriental manuscripts as these often provide important information on those 'ulamā' who have written books. This is certainly true in the case of al-l'alimbānī as I found it a very useful tool in tracing and tracking down his writings, especially those which have never been consulted or mentioned in contemporary studies. Thus, this section will highlight and discuss all of these writings, eleven thus far, which have never been included in previous studies.

The first of these writings is Risālah fī Bayān Asbāb Muḥarramāt an-Nikāḥ wa-mā Yudhkar ma' ahu min Dabṭ ar-Riḍā' wa-Ghayrih (Epistle elucidating the reasons for prohibited marriage) written in Jāvā, which according to al-Falimbānī himself was completed in Mecca, on Tuesday 10 Rabī' al-Awwal 1179/27 August 1765. 113 Looking at the date, this epistle was completed approximately two months after his first treatise, Zahrat al-Murīd.

As the title would indicate, this treatise deals with the law of marriage and the factors inhibiting marriage with certain relatives according to sharf ah law. The main focus of the discussion centres on the three groups of women that a man is prohibited to marry due to nasah (kinship), ridā' (fosterage) and muṣāharah (existing relationship by marriage) listing seven categories each for the first two groups and four for the third group. In my perusal of this text, I have discovered that a concise discussion of the same topic was later included by al-Falimbānī in his magnum opus, Sayr as-Sālikān. However, he omits several fiqh questions included in his earlier epistle. His this short text in three folios is an example of al-Falimbānī's crudition in fiqh and his intellectual contributions to the sharī' ah teachings in the Archipelago. Perhaps it is likely that al-Falimbānī wrote this epistle in response to a question by his compatriots, however, he does not indicate this in his text.

The second of the previously unknown writings of al-Falimbānī is Risālah Laṭīfah fī Bayān al-Isrā' wa 'I-Mi'rāj (A Small Epistle on Exposition of the Prophet's SAW Nocturnal Journey and Ascension).

Al-Falimbānī himself tells us that this epistle was completed in Mecca, on Friday, II Rajab 1181/3 December 1767, thus it is his third writing in chronological sequence, after his Zahrat al-Murīd and the above mentioned epistle on marriage. <sup>115</sup> It is important to remember that this is the last of the three earliest dated writings of al-Falimbānī that does not mention as-Sammān, indicating that he was not his disciple yet.

There is no published edition of this epistle and thus far, I have located only three manuscript copies of it: two in the National Library of Malaysia and the third in the University of Leiden Library. In This treatise deals with the narrative of the Prophet's SAW nocturnal journey from Mecca to Jerusalem and his ascension to heaven. Among the works of authoritative authors on this subject that al-Falimbānī quoted one Najm ad-Dīn al-Ghaytī's (910-83/1504-76) al-Isrā'wa 'I-Mi'rāj, better known as Qiṣṣat Mi'rāj an-Nabī, and its commentary entitled Sharḥ al-Mi'rāj by Aḥmad al-Qalyūbī (d. 1029/1619). Il' Both authors were from Egypt. It is probable that al-Falimbānī relied mostly on these two works as he concludes his writing saying that he finishes translating this epistle in Mecca (wa-kāṇa al-farāgh min tarjamat hādhihī ar-risālat fī Makkah al-Musharrafah ...). Ill

We know from the Zahrat al-Murīd that al-Falimbānī was already teaching his students when he wrote it and the date of completion of Risālah Latīfah further supports this. In fact, it is probable that al-Falimbānī was teaching at al-Masjid al-Ilarām by that time. We can relate this to Snouck Hurgronje's observation, that only during the seventh Hijri month, Rajab, that one hour of the day, usually after the sunset, lectures are allocated for the edifying recitations of the Prophet's SAW journey to Heaven, the anniversary of which is on the twenty seventh of that month. <sup>119</sup> Thus, perhaps, it is not a coincidence that al-Falimbānī completed his writing in the month of Rajab, about a fortnight before the celebrations of the Mi'rāj night.

Among al-Falimbānī's contemporaries who benefited from the Risālah Laṭīfah was Dāwūd al-Faṭānī. He quoted from this epistle in his own writing dealing with the same subject entitled Kifāyat al-Mulutāj fī 'l-Isrā' wa 'l-Mi'rāj which he completed in Mecea on 27 Muḥarram 1224/14 March 1809, some forty years after al-Falimbānī completed his own treatise <sup>130</sup> The third from among the list of al-Falimbānī's previously unutilised writings is Mulhaq fī Bayān al-Fawā' id an-Nājī' ah fī 'l-Jihād fī Sabīl Allāh (Annex to the Exposition of the Useful Benefits of Striving in the Way of God). 121 Unfortunately, the last page from the only known existing manuscript copy is missing, thus it is uncertain whether al-Falimbānī dated this work or not. However, the title itself indicates that he wrote it after his previous epistle on jihād. Analysing the Mulhaq clearly shows that it is a Jāwī translation of the Arabic nunlhaq (annex) included in his Naṣīḥat al-Muslimīu, perhaps intended for and requested by his Jāwī compatriots who do not understand Arabic. The Mulhaq includes four fawā 'id (benefits) containing several Qur'ānic verses and supplications to be recited at specific times, which according to al-Falimbānī will give benefits and provide protection during jihād. 122

The fourth title among the newly identified writings of al-Falimbānī is an epistle on Islamic jurisprudence entitled Risālah fī Bayān Ḥnkm ash-Shar' wa-Bayān man Yukhālifuhn fī 'l-l' tiqād aw fī 'l-lhukm aw fī 'l-' Amal (Epistle on the exposition of the legal ruling and those contradicting it regarding belief, juristic ruling or action). According to al-Falimbānī himself, this epistle was completed in Mecca, on Sunday, 10 Rajab 1201/28 April 1787. [11] Thus far, I have located only two existing copies of this text. [12]

Though al-Falimbānī is chiefly known as a \$\tilde{u}i\text{ scholar} on the basis of his acclaimed work, \$Sayr as-Sālikīu\$ and his affiliation with the Sammāniyyah tariqah, it is now evident that he was also a scholar of jurisprudence with at least two epistles (\$Risālah fī Bayān Ashāb Muḥarramāt an-Nikāḥ and \$Risālah fī Bayān thikm ash-Shar') written specifically on fiqh in addition to numerous masā'il fiqhiyyah (diverse questions on jurisprudence) in his other writings. In fact, his strong inclination towards tayawuruf does not exclude his genuine interest in fiqh as al-Falimbānī completed writing his work of fiqh in between working on his third and fourth volumes of his \$Sayr as-Sālikīu. This is perhaps why apart from referring to al-Falimbānī as a \$\tilde{u}f\$, al-Fādānī also refers to him as 'al-'Allāmah' and 'al-Faqīh' ash-Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad.' This is not unique among \$\tilde{u}fis.

The Risālah fī Bayān Ḥukm ash-Shar' deals with a detailed explanation of sharī'ah law and its two parts, the first, al-ḥukm ash-

shar'î at-taklîjî, obligations of the believer according to Islamic Law which includes its five essential levels: wājib (compulsory), sunnah (meritorious or recommended), harām (forbidden), makrūh (disliked or not recommended) and mubāḥ (permissible). The second part, al-hukm ash-shar'ī al-waḍ'ī (positional or situational legal rulings) also with five components: sahab (cause), sharṭ (condition), māni' (hindrance), saḥiḥ (valid) and fāsid (invalid). Among Islamic scholars whom al-Falimbānī quoted as authoritative in this Risālah include Zakariyyā al-Anṣārī, al-Baydāwī's Tafsūr, Ibn Ḥajara I-Haytamī's [al-I'lām bi-] Qawāṭi' al-Islām, and az-Zawājir 'an Iqtirāf al-Kabā'iri, and al-Ghazālī's lhyā' 'Ulūm ad-Dīn, and Kitāb al-Arba'īn fī Uṣāl ad-Dīn. 12° This epistle demonstrates al-Falimbānī's erudition in fīqh. However, further research needs to be done on this work.

The next two writings of al-Falimbānī which were previously unknown are ar-Risālah fi Kayfiyyat Rātib Laylat al-Juni'ah (Epistle on the modality of the Friday Night Invocation) and Kayfiyyat Khaum fawm ar-Rabū' fi Waqt al-'Aṣr (Modality of the completion of Qur'ān recitation on Wednesday afternoon). Al-Falimbānī wrote both of these works in Arabic. <sup>128</sup> However, similar to his other collections of spiritual Qur'ānic verses, supplications and litanies, he does not provide any date or place of completion for either work, although he mentions his teacher, as-Sammān in them which indicates that he was already his student by the time he wrote them. Thus, he probably wrote them in Medina and initially intended them for his personal use and they were later handed down to his disciples.

Since both works are only compilations of litanies and supplications to be recited on the Friday night and at the completion of Qur'an recitation on the afternoon of Wednesday, they do not constitute works of scholarly nature, and this perhaps explains the absence of indication of place and date of writing.

Another undated work of al-Falimbānī is a short compilation of supplications entitled Du'ā' al-Musbba'āt al-'Asha' (The litany of the Ten Septuple) which is two pages long. 129 This treatic is a form of wird (litany) which has been practiced not only by al-Falimbānī himself but also by other \$\frac{3if}{3}\$ scholars as stated by al-Amīr al-Kabīr, al-Kattāni and Ibn 'Ābidīn. 130 In fact, 'Abd Allāh ash-Sharqāwī attributed the

al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar to Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Jazūlī (or al-Juzūlī, d. 870/1465), the Maghribī Ṣūfī considered the walī of Marrakesh, renowned chiefly for his Dalā'il al-Khayrāt (Guidelines for Blessings). However, al-Falimbānī explains that the litany of al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar he practiced was received directly from his teacher Muḥammad as-Sammān, who in turn received it from his teacher 'Atjayat Allāh [as-Sindī], who received it from Khiḍr, who in turn received it directly from the Prophet SAW. The al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar of al-Jazūlī on the other hand and according to ash-Sharqāwī, was given by Khiḍr to Ibrāhīm al-Taymī (d. 94/713). 133 Thus, al-Falimbānī's version of al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar seems different from the others in its chain of transmission and to a certain extent in its contents which reflect a variation in the order of wording and contains some additions.

The al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar contains ten sections, including verses from the Qur'ān, blessings on the Prophet SAW and supplications to be recited seven times each. Three different copies of this treatise have been located: one held by the National Library of Malaysia, another at the University of Leiden Library and a personally inherited copy located in Palembang. However, I was only able to consult the first two copies and have to be satisfied regarding the third by its description provided in the Catalogue of Palembang Manuscripts. <sup>113</sup> The colophon of the copy in Malaysia clearly indicates that it was copied on Saturday aftermoon ('asr hari Sabu) in Shawwāl 1219/January 1805 in Karangkali; although I have not been able to identify this place, it is perhaps in Java, Indonesia. <sup>115</sup>

It is important to highlight the fact that al-Falimbānī himself mentioned al-Musabba'āi at least twice in two of his other works, namely his al-'Urwar al-Wuthqā and Sayr as-Sālikān, 136 Perhaps this text was written by al-Falimbānī upon receiving it from as-Sammān and was later included in his above works.

The eighth text from among the list of al-l'alimbānī's previously unknown writings is au-Nūr al-Alimad fī Asānīd ash-Shaykh 'Abd ay-Şamad (The Praise worthy Light on 'Abd ay-Şamad's Chains of Transmission), which is his thabat. This title has never been mentioned in previous studies, indicating that it was unknown to contemporary scholars. It was al-Fādānī himself who stated that al-Falimbānī compiled a thabat with the above title, stating that he read it with Mukhtār b.

'Aţārid al-Jāwī, who in turn had read it with 'Umar b. Ṣāliḥ as-Samārānī, who read it with his own father, who himself in turn had read it with the author, Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad b. 'Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Falimbānī. List However, it is unfortunate that I have not been able to locate any existing manuscript copy or published edition of this work. Perhaps al-Fādānī himself possessed a copy of it, since he had read it, and as I had pointed earlier, most of his own works and other scholars' works are still kept unpublished in his private library in Mecca.

Nevertheless, from al-Fādānī's isnāds scattered throughout his writings connecting him through his teachers with al-Falimbani, we can extract the latters' isnads which must have been part of his thabat. However, we should remember that al-Fādānī does not specifically compile or list his isnāds which not only link him to al-Falimbānī but also to other Jāwī scholars. Thus, what is available from his writings is only some of al-Falimbani's isnady, which I have utilised to extract a comprehensive list of his teachers and students. It is interesting to point out that on consulting numerous isnād works of al-Fādānī, none of the renowned Jāwī scholars of the late sixteenth century such as Hamzah al-Fansūrī and Shams ad-Dīn as-Sumatrānī, and of the seventeenth century such as Nur ad-Din ar-Raniri, 'Abd ar-Ra'uf as-Sinkili, and Yüsuf al-Magassäri made their appearance in such isnāds. This leads my theory regarding the transmission of knowledge, that in the early period of Islamic intellectual development in the Malay Archipelago, isnads were not given significant attention. As observed from the early Jāwī scholarly literature, isnāds were applied in a narrow context, as a means of confirming legitimate affiliation to a particular Sūfī tarīqah by providing the silsilah tariqah, demonstrating a person as a valid transmitter of such tarigah.

We can also relate the testimony of his disciple 'Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Ahdal as evidence indicating that al-Falimbānī must have had numerous isnāds. Al-Ahdal states that after reading the beginning of every quarter of the Iliyā' with al-Falimbānī, he requested from him an ijāzah for this work. Al-Ahdal was granted a lengthy ijāzah written in al-Falimbānī's own noble writing (fa-ajāzanī wa-kataba lī bi-khaṭṭṭhi ash-sharīf ijāzah mnṭawwalah). 118 Thus, we can certainly conclude that al-Falimbānī himself must have read the Iliyā' with several teachers, possessing various

isnāds going back to al-Ghazālī. Furthermore, if this was true for the Iħyā', one can deduce that he also had isnāds in other Islamic religious sciences, especially ħadīth works which as a rule are narrated and handed down to later generations with complete isnāds.

It is important to recall here what we have seen in previous chapters; that most of al-Falimbani's teachers, their teachers, his own contemporaries and later generations, all list their teachers and isnads in their own thabats. 139 All of them are scholars of hadith or affiliated with the study of hadīth. As al-Falimbānī himself authored au-Nūr al-Ahmad, we can deduce that he must have been a scholar of hadith or affiliated to the discipline. This finds support from the inclusion of his biographical entry in Siddiq al-Qannūji's at-Tāj al-Mukallal, which according to the author was written to compile a group of scholars who have affiliation with the science of Hadith. 140 In addition, I have mentioned above that al-Falimbani wrote an Arabic al-'Urwat al-Wuthqa containing hadith on the merits of dhikr and the call to increase it, plus his Nasihat al-Muslimin which included numerous Prophetic SAW traditions quoted from numerous hadith sources. It is also important to note that later generations of Jāwī scholars, including women, continued the scholarly tradition, compiling their own thabats. 141

The ninth writing of al-Falimbānī unknown to those who dealt previously with his scholarship is Fadā il al-lhyā il il-Ghazālī (The Virtues of Revival [of the Religious Sciences] by al-Ghazālī). This is attributed to him by two contemporary Arab scholars from Damascus: Muḥammad Bahjat al-Baytār (d. 1396/1976) and 'Umar Ridā Kaḥḥālah (d. 1408/1987). However, neither provides us with further details or any description of this work. Unfortunately, I have not been able to locate any existing copy. Nevertheless, from the title itself, this work probably discusses the merits of al-Ghazālī's lhyā' — one of the major tayawanf works al-Falimbānī had focused most of his studying and teaching. [43]

There exists also a poem attributed to al-l'alimbānī on Kedah's victory against Siamese occupation. The untitled ten-line poem written in Arabic was inscribed on a red silk banner, which according to Wan Shamsudin Yusof was unearthed from the inheritance of Dato' Pekerma Wan Muhammad Ali's descendants in Padang Matsirat, Langkawi, Kedah in 1958. Yusof himself gave a Malay title to this collection as

Puisi Kemeneugan Kedah (poem on Kedah's victory). The banner was later donated to the Kedah State Museum and since then has been kept as part of the national heritage. Yusof believes that this poem was written by al-Falimbānī who was somehow involved in the Kedah war against Siam. He adds that the banner was used by Dato' Pekerma during this war led by Tunku Muḥammad Sa'd in 1838-39. 144 The rhyming poem reads as follows: 145

After the basmalah and verses from Surat al-Fath (the Victory, Qur'an 48:1-3)

gul li - 'l-malik ibn 'l-malik say to the king son of the king, wa-man li-abwāb al-jinān bi-sayfihi gat'an fatah and he who opened the door of the heaven with his sword. lazilta 'Abdan li-Allāh yā ghayth al-warā you are servant of God, as the rain for the creatures, yā as'ad man as'ad haggan nasah happiness is for those who counsel rightness, badr al-hudā haggan badā bushrā lanā the right guidance shone like a full moon giving us glad tidings, yā ma' shar 'l-islām gad nilnā al-faraḥ O the people of Islam, we have attained the delights, hādhā huwa al-haz al-ladhī man [nālahu] this is the fortune that who ever attained it, nāla as-sa'ādah wa 's-siyādah wa 'l-minah had attained happiness, sovereignty and gift falidhā badā khatm al-futūh mu'arrikhan the seal of victories had emerged, dated bi 'n-nasr wa 'l-Islam gad fazat Kedah with triumph and Islam, Kedah prevailed. 373 169 104 488 112146 sanah 1246 (year 1246)

Although Yusof believes that the poem was written in 1254/1838, the last verse which gives the date clearly shows that it was written in 1246/1831. <sup>147</sup> Thus, this banner must have been used during the uprising led by Tunku Kudin (in 1246/1831) when he and his supporters initially

and successfully drove the Siamese out and regained control over Kedah. Since it was believed that Dato' Pekerma used this banner during the war led by Tunku Muhammad Sa'd, it must have been handed down to him as he used it in the second uprising in 1254/1838.

However, I have demonstrated earlier that al-Falimbānī was present in Kedah only during the second uprising in 1254/1838, and if these Arabic poems were indeed written by al-Falimbānī in 1246/1831, they were probably written in Mecca and sent back to Kedah. However, Yusof's attribution of this work to al-Falimbānī remains only a probability unless further evidence can be unearthed which supports or contradicts this.

## Writings Erroneously Attributed to Al-Falimbānī

Voorhoeve strongly repudiated this attribution, pointing out that in a lithographed edition of Anis al-Muttaqii with interlinear translation in Javanese, the nisbah al-Falimbāni is added to the author's name on the title page, but not in the text itself. <sup>150</sup> He suggests that the ascription to 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbāni is probably an attempt to make the book popular in the Malay Archipelago. <sup>151</sup> I agree fully with this conclusion, as all manuscript copies without the Javanese translation do not carry the nisbah al-Falimbāni, and thus, this indicates clearly the publisher's intention to popularise the text by adding this nisbah. <sup>152</sup> This also highlights al-Falimbāni's popularity in that his name was widely known in the Archipelago to the extent that it was used to promote a published Islamic text in Javanese.

According to both al-Baghdadī and Kahhalah, Anis al-Muttaqin was the work of 'Abd as-Samad b. al-Faqîh, a Sûfî who completed it in 1175/1761. 159 Looking at the date of completion alone, this is enough evidence to show that it was not the work of al-Falimbani as his first dated work, the Zahrat al-Murid was completed in 1178/1765. Furthermore, Kahhālah himself distinguishes clearly between these two authors. He credits al-Falimbani with Fada'il al-Ihya' under the entry of 'Abd as-Samad al-Jawi, and attributes Anis al-Muttagin to 'Abd as-Şamad al-Faqīh in a different entry. 154 In addition, textual analysis gives the impression that the author of Anis al-Muttagin, 'Abd as-Samad b. Fagih Husayn was very likely to have been an Arab scholar due to the eloquent Arabic demonstrated in the work. Further evidence to support the conclusion that this is a wrongful attribution is that 'Abd ar-Rahim al-Jāwī al-Ashī translated the Anis al-Muttagin into Jāwī which he titled Hidayat al-Muttagin, stating that it was taken from the work of 'Abd as-Samad b. Faqih Husayn (fa-allaftu hādhā al-kitāb fa-akhadhahu [sic] min gawl 'Abd as-Samad b. Faqih Husayu min al-'ilm as-sālik ilā Allāh ... wa-sammaytuhu Hidayat al-Muttaqin).155 However, 'Abd ar-Rahim al-Ashī himself does not attribute Anīs al-Muttaaīn to al-Falimbānī, which he would have otherwise indicated if it was the latter's work.

The second wrongly attributed writing to al-Falimbānī is *Tulyfat ar-Rāghibīu*, a Malay epistle written by an anonymous author in 1188/1774. Despite being anonymous, Voorhoeve was the first to attribute this epistle to 'Abd aş-Şamad al-Falimbānī. He attempts to support his claim by providing five pieces of evidence; the strongest is perhaps that al-Falimbānī usually dated his writings ranging from 1178/1764 to 1203/1788. <sup>156</sup>

Building upon Voorhoeve's ascription, Drewes conclusively attributes the *Tulffat ar-Rāghibīn* to al-Falimbānī after his two letters written to the princes of Java were unearthed. Based on the evidence that al-Falimbānī maintained contact with the Malay Archipelago, Drewes unhesitatingly concluded that al-Falimbānī wrote this epistle at the request of the Sulţān of Palembang. <sup>157</sup> Later scholars including Quzwain and Azra simply followed Drewes in attributing this work to al-Falimbānī. <sup>158</sup> In his article on Sayyid 'Uthmān al-Batāwī, a famous Hadhramī Scholar in Indonesia, Azra himself does not seem to realize that al-Batāwī clearly

credited the *Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn* to Arshad al-Banjārī. 159 Thus, he fails to investigate the issue of attribution further.

However, my own findings strongly contradict Voorhoeve's and Drewes's attribution of the *Tuhfat* to al-Falimbani. Numerous pieces of evidence indicate that this anonymous treatise was in fact authored by Muhammad Arshad al-Banjari. The first piece of evidence is that Arshad al-Banjāri's own maternal grandson, 'Abd ar-Raḥmān Ṣiddīq al-Banjari and the aforementioned 'Uthman al-Batawi both pointed out that Arshad authored Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn. 160 Further research reveals that there were three epistles carrying the title Tuhfat ar-Räghibin: the first, Tuhfat av-Räghibin fi Bayan Haqiqat İman al-Mu'minin wa-mä Yufsiduhu min Riddat al-Murtaddin by an anonymous author, but completed in 1188/1774, the second, Tuhfat ar-Räghibin fi Sulük Tariqat al-Muttaqin completed in Mecca in 1230/1814 by Shaykh Dāwūd al-Fatānī, the third and last, Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Taqlīd al-Qawl bi-Sihhat 'l-Jum' ah bidûn 'I-Arba'în authored by Shaykh Husayn b. Sulaymân al-Funtianî, completed in Mecca in 1319/1902. Since the authors of the last two Tuhfats are clearly known, this leaves us with the anonymous Tuhfat which was indeed the work of Arshad al-Baniari.

The second piece of evidence is that Dāwūd al-Faṭānī clearly indicates in his Tulyfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Sulūk Tarīqat al-Muttaqīn that the Tulyfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Bayān Ḥaqīqat Imān al-Mn 'minīn was authored by his older contemporary, Muḥammad Arshad al-Banjārī as he says: 'maka disebut oleh yang ampunya karangan Tulyfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Bayān Ḥaqīqat Imān al-Mn 'minīn bagi al-'Ālīm al-Fādil al-'Allāmah Shaykh Mnḥammad Arshad.''<sup>161</sup> It is important to emphasize that al-Faṭānī completed his Tulyfat in Meeca in 1230/1814; two years after al-Banjārī's death in 1227/1812. Without doubt, al-Faṭānī knew al-Banjārī and his works better than those from later generations, as both scholars lived in the same period. Thus, from this evidence alone it is enough to prove that the anonymous Tulyfat was the work of Arshad al-Banjārī and not al-Falimbānī.

Drewes's assumption that the Tuhfat was written upon the request of the Sultān of Palembang itself strongly contradicts Ṣiddīq al-Banjārī. The latter tells us that his grandfather, Arshad al-Banjārī authored the Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Bayāu Ḥaqūqat [Īmān] al-Mu'minīn wa-[mā Yufsiduhu

min] Riddat al-Murtaddin (providing the full title) upon the request of Sulţān Tahmīd Allāh ath-Thānī b. Sulţān Tamjīd Allāh al-Awwal (r. 1778-1808), the Sulţān of Banjar. <sup>162</sup> In addition to these, several other pieces of evidence (eight to be precise) <sup>163</sup> clearly show that Arshad al-Banjārī authored the Tihfat. However, the pieces of evidence discussed above suffice to prove the wrong attribution of Tihfat to al-Falimbānī.

In addition, another writing attributed to al-Falimbānī is a compendium of al-Burhānpūrī's at-Tuhfah al-Mursalah entitled an-Nukhbat al-Mufdāh min 'r-Rahmat al-Muhdāh' 'Alayhi 'y-Şalāī wa' 's-Salām min Allāh (The chosen gift emanating from God the Compassionate and bestowed on the Prophet SAW). 164 Among modern scholars who attributed this work to al-Falimbānī was Oman Fathurahman, who assumes its title was 'Mulakhkhaş li al-Tuhfah al-Mursalah,' claimed to be given by the author himself. 165 Apart from the wrong title, Fathurahman does not provide any evidence to support his claim. 166 However, the correct title of this epistle according to the author himself is an-Nukhbat al-Mufdāh as he says "falammā morrat 'alayya at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah ... khaṭar fī'l-bāl naskhuhā bi-talkhiṣ lafṣihā ... fa-sammaytu al-mulakhkhaş [bi-] 'n-Nukhbat al-Mufdāh min 'r-Rahmat al-Muhdāh' 'Alayhi' 'y-Ṣalāī wa' 's-Salām min Allāh'' 165

The undated an-Nukhbat is written in Arabic with an interlinear Jāwī translation with the only known existing manuscript copy being bound with the earlier mentioned Zād al-Muttaqīn. However, unlike the latter, this work does not include the author's name, which leaves it status and attribution inconclusive. Unlike all of al-Falimbānī's other writings on taṣawwuf, this epistle does not mention as-Sammān at all; in fact, all al-Falimbānī's works written while or after studying with as-Sammān never fail to include this teacher's name.

Textual analysis indicates that the summarizer was 'Abd Allāh b. Ibrāhīm Mīrghanī as it says "wa-yaqūl al-mulakhkhiş 'Abd Allāh b. Ibrāhīm Mīrghanī..." <sup>168</sup> In addition, Nafīs al-Banjārī quoted 'Abd Allāh Mīrghanī's work three times in his ad-Durr an-Nafīs, describing it as a summary of at-Tuhfat al-Mursalah without providing any title. <sup>169</sup> However, close analysis of both works makes it clear that al-Banjārī's citations were indeed from the an-Nukhbat. Thus, I am able to confirm that this treatise is the work of 'Abd Allāh Mīrghanī and not al-Falimbānī.

It is worth noting that none of Mīrghanī's biographers ever mentioned an-Nukhbat al-Mufḍāh among his works, indicating that it is unknown to them. However, whoever wrote the interlinear Jāwī translation remains an open question.

# Current Chronological Sequence of Al-Falimbānī's Writings

Having discussed the writings of al-Falimbānī at length and in detail, we can now arrange his works in a chorological sequence, at the same time showing his various stages of development before he reached his apex of writing and teaching. Apart from his undated writings, which we now know were written in Medina but still remain difficult to arrange precisely, all of his writings can be chronological listed as follows:

- Zahrat al-Murid fi Bayān Kalimat at-Tawḥid (23 Dhū al-Ḥijjah 1178/12 June 1765)
- Risālah fi Bayāu Asbāb Muḥarramāt an-Nikāḥ (10 Rabī' al-Awwal 1179/27 August 1765)
- Risālah Laṭīfah fī Bayān al-Isrā' wa 'l-Mi'rāj (11 Rejab 1181/3 December 1767)
- Two letters addressed to two Princes of Java [19 Şafar 1186]/22 May 1772.
- Naṣṣ̄ḥat al-Muslimin wa-Tadhkirat al-Mn'minin fi Faḍō'il al-Jihād fi Sabīl Allāh wa-Karāmat al-Mujāhidin fi Sabīl Allāh (25 Jumādā al-Ūlā 1187/14 August 1773)
- Mulhaq fi Bayan al-Fawa'id an-Nāfi'ah fi 'l-Jihād fi Sabīl Allāh (undated)
- 7. Du'ā'al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar (undated)
- 8. al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā wa-Silsilat al-Walī al-Atqā (undated)
- 9. Rātib Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Falimbānī (undated)
- 10. Kayfiyyat fi Khatm Yawm ar-Rabū' fi Waqt al-'Ayr (not dated)
- 11. ar-Risālah fī Kayfiyyat Rātib Laylat al-Jum'ah (undated)
- 12. Zād al-Mnttagīn fī Tawhīd Rabb al-'Ālamīn (undated)
- Hidäyet as-Sālikīn fi Sulūk Maslak al-Muttaqīn (5 Muharram 1192/3 February 1778)

- Risālah fi Bayān Ḥukm ash-Shar' wa-Bayān man Yukhālifuhu fī 'I-I'tiqād aw fi 'I-Ḥukm aw fi 'I-'Amal (10 Rajab 1201/28 April 1787)
- Sayr as-Sālikin ilā 'Ibādat Rabb al-'Ālamīn (vol. 1, 1193-94/1779-80; vol. 2, 19 Ramadān 1195/8 September 1781; vol. 3, 19 Şafar 1197/22 January 1783; vol. 4, 20 Ramadān 1203/14 June 1789)
- an-N
  ür al-Aḥmad fi As
  änid ash-Shaykh 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad (has not been located)
- 17. Fadā'il al-Ihyā' Li 'I-Ghazālī (has not been located)
- Puisi Kemenengan Kedah (Poems on Kedah's victory) (1246/1831)

### **Endnotes**

- See appendix 2.
- See Voorhoeve, "'Abd al-Samad b. 'Abd Alläh al-Palimbänī," vol. 1, p. 92.
- See El-Muhammady, "The Islamic concept of education," p. 62.
- See Brockelmann, GAL (S), vol. II, p. 629.
- See Drewes, Directions for travellers, pp. 222-4.
- See Quzwain, Mengenal Allah, pp. 19-30,
   See al-Falimbānī, Zahrat al-Murīd, p. 2
- 8 Ibid, pp. 2, 11.
- 9 Ibid, p. 2.
- 10 Ibid.
  - See al-Falimbānī, Zahrat al-Murīd, pp. 5-7.
  - 12 *lbid*, p. 5.
  - On Ibn Hajar al-Haytamī see Arendonk, C. van. "Ibn Hadjar al-Haytamī, Abu '1-'Abbās Alpmad b. Muḥammad b. Muḥammad b. 'Alī b. Ḥadjar, Shihāb al-Din, al-Haytamī (not al-Haythamī) al-Sa'dī' in EF, edited by P. Bearman, Th. Bianquis, C.E. Bosworth, E. van Donzel and W.P. Heinrichs (Leiden, E. J. Brill), vol. III. p. 778.
- 14 See al-Falimbani, Zahrat al-Murid, p. 6.
- 15 *Ibid*, pp. 6-7.
- 16 Ibid, p. 7.
- See al-Ahdal, an-Nafas al-Yamānī, p. 140.

- Contrary to Chambert-Loir's conclusion, who maintains that copies of this work are scarce as only three copies were available (one in the University of Leiden Library and the remaining two in the Jakarta Museum, respectively), I found that manuscript copies of this work were abundantly available and can be found deposited in most of the libraries holding Malay Jāwī manuscripts, such as the Islamic Arts Museum of Malaysia, which holds five copies, the National Library of Malaysia, holding at least two copies, the University of Leiden itself actually possess two copies. Furthermore, I was able to acquire an early published edition of Zahrat. printed in Mecca by Matba'at at-Taraqqı al-Majidiyyah in 1331 1921, upon which I have primarily based my reading and research. Cf. Chambert-Loir, "Abdussamad Al-Falimbani Sebagai Ulama Jawi," p. x; bibliography.
- 19 See El-Muhammady, "The Islamic Concept of Education," p. 60.
- 20 He repeats the same statement in Malay, see al-Falimbani, Hidayat as-Sālikīn, p. 3.
- 21 See al-Falimbani, Hidayat as-Salikin, pp. 3, 4, 6, 23, 28, 31, 35, 37, 50, 64, 104, passim.
- 22 Ibid, pp. 109, 111, 112,
- Ibid, p. 27.
- 24 Ibid, pp. 12, 19, 29, 31, 37, 40, 49. The 'Umdat al-Muhtaiin itself is written in Arabic and Jawi.
- 35 Ibid, p. 26. 26 Ibid. p. 28.
- 27 Ibid, p. 51.
- 28
- Ibid. p. 46.
- 29 See al-Falimbani, Hidayat as-Sālikin, p. 66.
- 34) For further information on these additions, compare the table of contents between al-Falimbani, Hidayat as-Sālikin, pp. 1-4 and al-Ghazāli, Abū Hāmid [Muhammad b, Muhammad], Bidāyat al-Hidāyah (Egypt, Maktabat al-Jundi, 1384 1964), pp. 266-8.
- 31 See al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikīn, vol. 1, pp. 9, 216, 227; vol. 4, pp. 179, 262.
- 12 Cf. al-Falimbani, Hidayat as-Salikin (1ª edition, Egypt, [al-Matba'at al-Misrivvahl, 1298/1881), pp. 338-9; idem, op. cit. (Bombay, al-Matba'at al-Hasaniyyah, 1311/1893), pp. 1, 346-7; idem, op. cit. (Mecca, al-Matba'at al-Miriyyah, 1311/1893), pp. 1, 126; idem, op. cit. (Cairo, Matba'at Dâr Ihvā' al-Kutub al-' Arabiyyah, 1342/1924), pp. 1, 121-2; Abdullah, Sweikh Abdush Shamad, p. 60.

- Of. Leiden University, Or. 6919, Or. 3284, Or. 1958, Or. 8487, Or. 1710, Or. 7231 (incomplete): National Library of Malaysia, MS 1519, MS 464, MS 315, MS 1425, MS 1324 (copied in Mecca): Islamic Arts Museum of Malaysia, MI 90, MI 277, MI 334, MI 417.
- See al-Falimbañi, Hidáyat as-Sálikin (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MS 464; idem, op. cit. (MS Leiden University), Or. 1958, p. 290.
  - 35 Cf. al-Falimbānī. Hidāyat as-Sālikīn (1º edition, Egypt, [al-Maţba'at al-Mişriyyah], 1298 1881), p. 339; idem, op. cit. (Bombay, al-Maţba'at al-Hasaniyyah, 1311/1893), p. 347.
- These numbers represent the 'Abjadi' numerical values of the Arabic letters in the previous line of this poem and when added up indicate the corresponding year of publication (1298 A.D.).
- <sup>37</sup> Cf. al-Bantani, Marāqi al-'Ubūdiyyalı, p. 99; al-Falimbāni, Hidāyat as-Sālikin, p. 123.
- Al-Falimbānī says: "lammā kānat sanat alf wa-mi 'ah wa-thalūtha wa-tis' in ... alham Allāh Ta' āla fi qalhī an utarjim kitāb imām al-fuqahā' al-' ālimin wa-qudwat as-sūfiyyah al-muhaqqiqin al-mussamā bi-Lubāb lhyā' 'Uhim ad-Din al-jāmi' hayu ash-sharī' ah wa 't-tariqam al-' haw a' l-mutaqlammin fihi 'ilm uṣūl ad-din wa 'l-fiqh wa 't-taṣawwuf an-nāfi' ah bi-kalām al-jāwī ma' a ziyādat fawā 'id nafisah li-yamafi' bih man lā ma' rifat lahu hi-kalām al-' Arab," see al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikīn, vol. 1, pp. 2-3.
- 10 Cf. al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikīn, vol. 1, pp. 7, 9, 11, 14, 21, passim.
- See Ritter, H., EF, vol. II, p. 1041. Cf. al-Baghdådi, Hadiyyat al-Árifin, vol. I, p. 83; Hāji Khalifiah (d. 10674/657), Muştafā b. 'Abd Allāh, Kashf aŋ-Zunān 'an Asāmī al-Kutuh wa '1-Funān (2 vols., Beirut, Dār Ilyjā' at-Turāth al-'Arabi, s.a.), vol. I, p. 24.
- 41 Cf. Brockelmann, GAL (S), vol. I, p. 748.
- Al-Ghazālī, Mikhtaşar Ilyai 'Ulim ad-Din, in the margin of al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī, Taqī ad-Dīn 'Abd al-Malīk b. Abī al-Munā ash-shahīr bi 'sh-Shaykh 'Ubayd aḍ-Darī, Nizhat an-Nāzirīn fi Tafsir Āyāt min Kitāh Rabh al- Ālamīn wa-Aḥādīth Marwiyyuh 'an Sayyid al-Mursatīn wu-Āthūr Manqūlah 'an 's-Ṣahābat al-Muntakhabīn wa-Ḥikāyāt Ma'hūrah 'an 'l-Anbiyā' wa 'l-'Ulamā' wa 'ṣ-Ṣāliḥīn (Egypt, Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabiyyah al-Kubrā, 1328/1910). Recently, I have located two later editions of the Mikhtaşar, the first, published by Mua'ssasat al-Kutub ath-Thaqāfiyah, Beirut, 1º edition, 1410/1990 and the second, by Dār al-Fikr, Beirut, 1º edition, 1414/1993, respectively.
- 43 See al-Ghazālī, Mukhtaṣar Iḥyā' 'Ulñm ad-Dīn (1<sup>et</sup> edition, Beirut, Dār al-Fikr, 1414/1993), p. 17.

- See al-Falimbäni, Sayr as-Sälikin, vol. 3, pp. 176-83.
- 45 For a complete list of these works see appendix 3.
- 46 Hid
  - This concern for conformity of tarigah with shari ah has become highly important, especially since al-Ghazāli's time and is reflected in much Sūfi works of the eighteenth century.
- 48 See al-Falimbani, Sayr as-Sālikin, vol. 3, p. 181.
- 49 Ihid
- 10 Ibid.
- 51 Ibid, p. 183.
- 52 The title is misspelled in al-Falimbānī's text as as-Sirr al-Maṣān. Cf. al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikīn, vol. 3, p. 182; al-Ghazālī, al-Maḍnān bihi 'alā Ghayr Ahlih (1\* edition, Damascus, al-Hikmah. 1996/1417).
- This work has been translated into English by David Buchman under the title of *The Niche of Lights*. See Buchman, David, *Al-Ghazātī: The Niche* of Lights (Utsh, Brigham Young University Press, 1998).
- Wrongly scribed as al-Magşad al-Agşā ..., see al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikīn, vol. 3, p. 182. This work has been translated into English by Burrell. David B., and Daher, Nazih, Al-Ghazālī: The Ninety-Nine Beautiful Names of God. al-Magşad al-asnā fi sharh asmā 'Allāh al-lusnā (Cambridge, The Islamic Texts Society, 1995).
- Wrongly scribed as Tahiyyat al-Mas'alah ..., see ibid, p. 183. Cf. an-N\u00e4bulusi (d. 1143/1731). Abd al-Ghari b. Ism\u00e3'il, Nukhbat al-Mas'alah Sharh at-Tahfat al-Mursalah il\u00e4 in-Nabi (MS Princeton University), MS 1113.
- See al-Falimbani, Sayr as-Salikin, vol. 3, pp. 182-3.
- 17 Ibid, p. 180.
- 58 Ibid.
- 50 Ibid, p. 183.
- See Haron, Abdul Fatah, "Kitab Siyar al-Salikin oleh Abdul Samad al-Falimbani Mengelirukan" in Islam: Past, Present and Future, edited by Ahmad Sunawari Long, Jaffary Awang and Kamaruddin Salleh (Bangi, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 2004), pp. 1072-80.
- See Bruinessen, Martin van, "Kitab Kuning: Books in Arabie Script used in the Pesantren Milieu," BKI, 146 (1990) pp. 257-8.
- 62 See al-Falimbani, Sayr as-Salikin, vol. 3, pp. 7-12.
- 63 See al-Falimbânî, Sayr as-Sălikin, vol. 4, pp. 102-6.
- 64 Cf. al-Khānī (d. 1109/1697), Qāsim b. Şalāḥ ad-Dīn al-Ḥalabī, as-Sayr wa 's-Sulūk ilā Malik al-Mulūk, edited by Sa'īd Abd al-Fattāḥ (1" edition,

- Cairo, Maktabat ath-Thaqūfat ad-Dīniyyah. 1422/2002). pp. 37-8. 127-206; al-Gluzālī, Makhtaşar Ihyū'. p. 131; idem, Ihyū' 'Ulim ad-Dīn (1<sup>a</sup> edition, 4 vols., Beirut, Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 1423/2002). vol. 3, p. 6.
- 65 Cf. al-Falimbáni, Sayr as-Sálikín, vol. 4, pp. 103, 104, 105.
- See al-Ghazālī, Mukhtaṣar Iliyā', pp. 223-31; idem, Iliyā', vol. 4, pp. 328-46.
- See Haron, Abdul Fatah, "Wahdat al-Wujud Martabat Tujuh dalam Khazanah Kitab Lama dan Baru," paper presented in Seminar Bahan Rujukan Islam Nusantara, Brunci, 20-23 August 2001, pp. 25-6.
- See al-Minkābāwī (d. 1334/1916), Aḥmad Khaṭīb b. 'Abd al-Laṭif al-Jāwī, ash-Shumīs al-Lāmi' ah fī Radd Bida' Ahl 'l-Marātib as-Sah'ah (Mecca, Maṭba'at al-Mīriyyah, 1331/1913), pp. 2-3.
- 16 lbid, p. 3.
- " Ibid.
- See al-Ghazăli, Deliverance from Error, translated by W. Montgomery Watt (Kuala Lumpur, Islamie Book Trust, 2005), p. 46.
  - <sup>72</sup> *Ibid*, pp. 46-7.
- 3 Ibid, pp. 47-8.
- See al-Ghazáli, Iliyá', vol. 1, pp. 490-5.
- See al-Faţānī (d. 1263/1846), Dāwūd b. 'Abd Allāh b. Idrīs, Minhāj al-'Ābidīn ilā Januat Rabb al-'Ālamīn (Pulau Pinang, Percetakan Almuarif Sdn. Bhd., s.a.), pp. 4, 146-7.
- See el-Muhammady, "The Islamic concept of education," pp. 62-3.
- 17 I was able to consult both editions in the Leiden University Library in 2006.
- See Drewes, Directions for travellers, p. 223.
- 79 See al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikīn (MS National Library of Malaysia), MS 692.
- See al-Falimbañi. Hidáyat as-Sálikín, p. 27; idem, Sayr as-Sálikín, vol. 3, p. 180; vol. 4, p. 259.
  - 81 See al-Falimbăni, al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā, MSS 2865, fol. 1; MSS 2269, fol. 19.
- 82 See al-Falimbānī, al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā, MSS 2086, pp. 1, 2.
- <sup>13</sup> Op. cit., MSS 2269, fols. 21, 22, 23, 26, 34, 36, 51.
- 84 Ibid. MSS 2269, fol. 23; MSS 2865, fol. 6.
- <sup>85</sup> Cf. al-Falimbānī, al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā, MSS 2865, fols. 1-3; MSS 2269, fols. 19-20; idem, Sayr as-Sālikān, vol. 3, pp. 39-40; al-Jabartī, Tārīkh 'Ajā'ib al-Āthār, vol. 1, p. 243; Quds, al-Futāhāt al-Qudsiyyah, pp. 6-7.

- Al-Jabartī himself missed three names in his work. For a good study on all the intermediaries in this *silsilah*, see Qarīb Allāh, *as-Salāsil adh-Dhahabiyyah*, especially pp. 45-80, 96-100.
- 86 For further discussion of this criticism, see al-Fådåni, an-Nafhat al-Miskiyyah, p. 112.
- 87 See al-Falimbānī, Sayr ax-Sālikīn, vol. 4, p. 259.
- 1bid.
- 89 See Chapter 2, pp. 48-49.
- 96 See Rātib, MSS 2367, fols. 13, 24, 27, 29, 34, 37, 43.
- 91 Supra, Chapter 1, pp. 6-7; Chapter 2, pp. 49-50.
- 92 See Drewes, "Further data," pp. 270, 290, 291.
- 93 Ibid, pp. 273-4.
- For further discussion see Hurgronje (1857-1936), Christiaan Snouck, Achelmese, trans. O'Sullivan, A.W.S., (2 vols., Leiden, E. J. Brill, 1906), vol. II, p. 119.
- It is important to observe that such discontent was already reflected in the works of al-Falimbāni's predecessors such as Muhammad Zayn b, Faqih Jalâl ad-Din al-Ashi's Bidāyat al-Hidāyah where he clearly refers the Dutch or in his own terms. Hālandah (Holland) as badā' (affliction) and fitnah (ordeal) to the Archipelago. See his Bidāyat al-Hidāyah, p. 31.
- Evidently, the only scholar who consulted the Jakarta manuscript copies was Ronkel, as he correctly gives the brief Arabic titles of all the seven chapters included in this work in his catalogue. See Ronkel, Ph. S. van, Snpplement to the catalogue of the Arabic manuscripts preserved in the Museum of The Batavia Society of Arts and Sciences (The Hague, Nijhoff, 1913), pp. 139-40.
- For further details, see bibliography.
- The complete table of contents of this text is as follow: first chapter (fol. la); fi fadl al-jihād fi sabīl Allāh wa 1-hath 'alayhii: second chapter (fol. 3b); fi bayān al-aḥādīth al-wāridah fi fadl al-jihād; third chapter (fol. 3b); fi bayān fadl ar-ribāt fi sabīl Allāh wa '1-ḥaras fihi; fourth chapter (fol. 6b); fi bayān al-aḥādīth al-wāridah fi fadl al-infāq fi sabīl Allāh wa -taṭhīt al-gluzzāt fihi; fifth chapter (fol. 7b); fi fadl al-isti 'dād [bi-]ālat al-jihād fi sabīl Allāh wa '1-ḥath 'alā 'r-ramī wa-ta'allumihi; sixth chapter (fol. 9a); fi bayān fadl ash-shahādat fi sabīl Allāh; seventh chapter (fol. 13a); fi bayān aḥām al-jihād fi sabīl Allāh; khātīmah (epilogue) (fol. 15a); fī dhikr du'ā'ihi SAW ... fi 'l-jihād fi sabīl Allāh; and mulhaq (addendum) (fol. 16b); fī dhikr hirz nāfi 'wa-hirs māni' wa-hisn dāfi'.

- 99 See al-Falimbani, Nasihat al-Muslimin wa-Tadhkirat al-Mu'minin fi Fadā'il al-Jihād fī Sabīl Allāh wa-Karāmat al-Mujāhidīn fī Sabīl Allāh (MS Leiden University), F. Or. A20C, fols. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.
- \$136 Ibid. fol. 5.
- 101 Al-Falimbani says "inna al-ahādith al-latī awradnāhā fī hādhihī annaşılıalı muqtabasa min al-Jāmi' aş-Şaglür li 'l-Hāfiz as-Suyūti, wa-min Kashf al-Ghummah li 'l-'Ārif bi-Allāh ash-Shaykh 'Abd al-Wahhāb ash-Sha'rānī, wa-aktharuhā min 'r-risālah al-musammā bi-Maslak ar-Rashād ilā 'l-Ahādīth al-Wāridah fi Fadl al-Jihād li 'l-'Ārif bi-Allāh shaykh mashāyikhinā Munlā Ibrāhīm b. Hasan al-Kurdī al-Kūrānī." See al-Falimbani, Nasihat al-Muslimin, fol. 16b, However, Kahhalah was the only biographer who ascribes the Maslak to al-Kūrānī, entitled Maslak al-Irshād ilā 'l-Ahādith al-Wāridah fī 'l-Jihād. See his Mu' jam al-Mu' allifīn, vol. 1, p. 21.
- 102 See al-Fațănî (d. 1263/1846), Dăwūd b. 'Abd Allāh b. Idrīs al-Jāwī, Sullanı al-Muhtadi fi Ma'rifat Tarigat al-Muhtadi (Pulau Pinang, Percetakan Almuarif Sdn. Bhd., s.a.), pp. 34-5. He also includes a summary of the above text in his later work, Furū' al-Masā'il, which he began writing in 1254/1838 and was completed in Mecca in 1257/1841, see idem, Furū' al-Masā'il wa-Usūl al-Wasā'il (2 vols., Bangkok, Maktabat wa-Matba'at Muhammad an-Nahdī wa-Awlādihi, s.a.), vol. 2, p. 335.
  - 103 Supra, pp. 10, 39, 92, 110, 220, 235,
  - 104 See Quzwain, Mengenal Allāh, p. 29. Cf. Azra, The Origin of Islamic Reformism, p. 200,
  - 105 See al-Falimbānī, Zād al-Muttagīn, MSFB 1004, fol. 1a. This text is written in Arabic with interlinear translation in Jawi. I have edited the text together with my own English translation and is under preparation and will hopefully be published in the near future.
  - 106 See al-Falimbani, Sayr as-Sālikin, vol. 3, pp. 22, 183.
  - Ibid, p. 183.
  - 168 Ibid.

107

- 109 Ibid. For a good concise discussion on the Sufi doctrine of wahdat al-wnjud see Akkach, 'Abd al-Ghani al-Nabulusi, pp. 88-94.
- 110 See al-Batāwī (d. 1331/1913), 'Uthmān b. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Aqīl b. Yahyā al-'Alawi, Sawn ad-Din 'au Nazagāt al-Mudillin (Batavia, s.n., 1321/1903), p. 24.
  - 111 See Ouds, al-Futühät al-Oudsiyyah, p. 19.
  - See al-Falimbani, Zad al-Muttagin, MSFB 1004, fols. 5a, 5b.

- See al-Falimbānī, 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad, Risālah fi Bayān Asbāh Muḥarramāt an-Nikāh (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 2824, fol. 4. However, the date from a second copy of the same text, MSS 2783, was omitted by its scribe.
- See al-Falimbani, Savr as-Sälikin, vol. 2, pp. 39-40.
  - See al-Falimbānī, Risālah Laţifah fi Bayān al-Isrā' wa 'l-M'irāj (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 1079, fol. 20; MSS 2968, fol. 24; (MS Leiden University), Or. 17.903, p. 317. 11 Rajab 3 December of that year falls on Thursday, and it is to be remembered that under the traditional Islamic notion the day begins at sunset, this Friday night is the equivalent of the eve of Friday.
  - See bibliography for further details.
  - <sup>117</sup> See al-Falimbānī, *Risālah Latīfah*, MSS 1079, fol. 13; MSS 2968, fols. 14, 15; Or. 17.903, pp. 282, 285.
  - 11x Ibid, Or. 17.903, p. 317; MSS 1079, fol. 20; MSS 2968, fol. 24.
  - See Hurgronie, Mekka in the Later Part of 19th Century, p. 210.
  - <sup>120</sup> See al-Faţānī (d. 1263/1846), Dāwūd b. 'Abd Allāh al-Jāwi, Kifāyat al-Muḥtāj fī 'l-Isrā' wa 'l-Mi'rāj, (Bombay, s.n., 1298/1881), p. 68, Cf. Abdullah, Syeikh Abdus Shamad, p. 145.
  - See al-Falimbānī, Mulhaq fi Bayān al-Fawā'id au-Nāfi 'ah fi 'l-Jihād fi Sabīl Allāh (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 2269 (D), fols. 76-80. The exact copy is also printed lithographically in Abdullah, Al-Urwatul Wittsqa, pp. 120-9. Cf. Abdullah, "Peranan Ulama" dalam Silat," pp. 13-6.
- The four fawā'id are as follow; the first, fi dhikr hirz uāfi' wa-hirs māni' wa-hiṣn dāfi', the second, ad-du'ā' an-nāfi' fi 'l-jihād, the third, min-mā yanfa' li-halāk al-'adnw wa 's-salāmat min su'ih, and the fourth and last, min-mā yanfa' li-halāk al-'adnww wa 'l-kuffār wa li-salāmat min sharrihim.
- See al-Falimbānī. 'Abd aş-Şamad al-Jāwī. Risālah fi Bayān Ḥukm ash-Shar' wa-Bayān Man Yukhālifuhu fi 'l-l tiqād aw fi 'l-lhuku aw fi 'l-'Amal (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 2308, fol, 36.
  - 154 I have only been able to consult one copy of this work from which I have primarily based my reading and research. The second copy, which was not accessible, is held by the National Museum of Terenganu, Malaysia, D24 (D), fols. 27v.-38v. See Omar, Siti Mariani, Katulog Induk Manuskrip Melayn di Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur, Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia, 1993), p. 21.

- See al-Fâdânî, al-Wâfî, pp. 5, 77; idem, al-'Iqd al-Farid, p. 38.
- See al-Falimbānī, Risālah fi Bayān Ḥlukm ash-Shar', MSS 2308, fols, 29-30
- 127 Ibid, fols. 30, 31, 32,
- See al-Falimbānī, 'Abd aṣ-Ṣamad al-Jāwī, Risālah fi Kayfiyyat Rātib Laylat al-hm'ah ha'd Ṣalāt al-'Ishā' (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MS 2269 (C), fols, 58-70; idem. Kayfiyyat Khatm Yawm ar-Rabii' fi Waqt al-'Ayr (MSS National Library of Malaysia, MS 2269 (C), fols, 70b-75. Both copies are printed lithographically in Abdullah. Al-'Urwatul Wutsqa, pp. 140-65 and pp. 130-40 respectively.
- See al-Falimbānī, 'Abd aş-Şamad al-Jāwī, Du'ā' al-Musabba'āt al-'Ashar (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 2507 (B); (MSS Leiden University), Or. 8487 fols. 184-6.
- Do See al-Kattanī, Fahras al-Fahāris, vol. 1, p. 145; vol. 2, p. 1162; Ibn Ābidīn, 'Uqūd al-La'ālī, pp. 158-9; al-Amīr al-Kabīr, Thabat Muḥammad al-Amīr al-Kabīr, p. 37.
- 131 See ash-Sharqawi, al-Jami' al-Hawi, p. 41.
  - See al-Falimbānī, Du'ā', MSS 2507 (B); Or. 8487 fol. 184; idem, al-'Urwat al-Withqā, MSS 2865, fol. 7; MSS 2269, fol. 25; idem, Sayr as-Sālikīn, vol. 1, p. 219.
- 133 Cf. ash-Sharqāwī, al-Jāmi' al-Hāwi, p. 41; al-Ghazālī, Ihyā', vol. 1, pp. 473-4; Qarīb Allāh, as-Salāsil adh-Dhahabiyyah, pp. 151-2.
- <sup>134</sup> See Ikram, Achadiati (ed.), Katalog Naskah Palembang: Catalogue of Palembang Manuscripts (Tokyo, Tokyo University of Foreign Studies, 2004), pp. 49-50.
- 135 See al-Falimbânî, Du'â', MSS 2507 (B), p. 44.
- See al-Falimbānī, Sayr as-Sālikān, vol. 1, pp. 218-20: idem, al-'Urwat al-Wuthqā, MSS 2865, fols. 7-8: MSS 2269, fol. 25-6. Cf. Quds, al-Futūḥāt al-Qudsiyyalı, pp. 8-9; al-Falimbānī, Azharī, Badī' az-Zamān, p. 126: al-Būghūrī (d. 1349/1930), Muḥammad Mukhtār b. 'Aţārid al-Jūwī al-Makkī, ad-Durr al-Munīf fī Sharh al-Wird al-Latīf (Singapore, Maṭba at Muḥammad Amīn. 1317/1899), pp. 40-44: al-Ḥabshī, 'Iqd al-Yawāqūt al-Jawhariyyalı, vol. 1, p. 89.
- See Mamdůh, Flām al-Qāṣī, p. 70; al-Falimbānī, Mukhtār, Bulūgh al-Amānī, vol. 1, p. 165.
  - 138 See al-Ahdal, an-Nafas al-Yamani, p. 139.
  - Among thabats published by those connected to al-Falimbâni, in chronological order, include Aḥmad al-Qushāshi's (d. 1071/1661) as-Simt al-Majid fi Sha'n al-Bay'ah wa 'dh-Dhikr wa-Talqinihi wa-Salāsil

Ahl 'I-Tawhid: "Isä ath-Tha'ālabī's (d. 1080/1669) Thabat Shams ad-Din al-Bābilī, al-musammā; Muntakhab al-Asānīd fī Wasli 'l-Musannafāt wa 'I-Ajzā' wa 'I-Masānīd; Abū Sālim al-'Ayyāshī's (d. 1090-1679) Ithāf al-Akhillä' bi-ljäzät al-Mashäyikh al-Ajillä'; Muhammad ar-Rüdäni's (d. 1094/1682) Silat al-Khalaf bi-Mawsül as-Salaf; Ibrāhīm al-Kūrānī's (d. 1101/1690) al-Umam li-Iqā; al-Himam; Aḥmad an-Nakhlī's (d. 1130/1717) Bughyat at-Tālibīn li-Bayān al-Mashāyikh al-Muhaqqiqīn al-Mu'tamidin; 'Abd Allâh al-Başrī's (d. 1134/1722) al-Imdād bi-Ma rifat 'Uluw 'l-Isnād; Muhammad al-Budayrī known as Ibn al-Mayvit's (d. 1140/1727) al-Jawāhir al-Ghawālī fī Bayān al-Asānīd al-'Awālī: Ibn Aqîlah's (d. 1150/1737) al-Fawâ'id al-Jalîlah fi Musalsalât Ibn 'Aqîlah; Muhammad Sa'īd Sunbul's (d. 1175/1761) al-Awā'īl as-Sunbuliyyalı; Muḥammad al-Hifnī's (d. 1181/1767) Thabat; Aḥmad al-Mullawī's (d. 1182/1767) Thabat: Muhammad as-Saffārīnī's (d. 1188/1774) Thabat allmām as-Saffārīnī al-Haubalī wa-ljāzātuhu li-Tā'ifah min A'yān 'Ulamā' 'Asrihi: Ahmad ad-Damanhūri's (d. 1192/1778) al-Latā'if an-Nūrivvali fi 'I-Minalı ad-Damanlıüriyyalı; Murtadă az-Zabidi's (d. 1205/1790) Alfiyyat as-Sanad, al-Mn' jam al-Mukhtass, Mu' jam al-' Allamah Safi ad-Din Muhammad al-Bukhārī al-Atharī, and al-Murabbī al-Kābulī fī-Man Rawā an 'sh-Shams al-Bābili; Ahmad al-Attār's (d. 1218/1803) Thabat al-'Attār; 'Abd Allāh ash-Sharqāwī's (d. 1227/1812) al-Jāmi' al-Ifāwī fī Marwiyyāt ash-Sharqāwī; Muhammad al-Amīr al-Kabīr's (d. 1232/1816) Thabat Muhammad al-Amir al-Kabir; Muhammad ash-Shanawani's (d. 1233/1817) ad-Durar as-Saniyyalı fi-mâ 'Alâ min 'l-Asânîd ash-Shanawāniyyah; Abd al- Azīz ad-Dihlawī's (d. 1239/1823) al-'Ujālah an-Nāfi ali; 'Abd ar-Rahmān al-Ahdal's (d. 1250/1834) au-Nafas al-Yamânî wa 'r-Rawh ar-Rayhânî fî ljâzat al-Oudât Banî ash-Shawkânî, and Barakat ad-Dunyî wa 'l-Ukhrâ fî 'l-Ijâzat al-Kubrâ; Muḥammad ash-Shawkani's (d. 1250/1834) Ithaf al-Akabir bi-Asanid ad-Dafatir; Ibn 'Abidîn's (d. 1252 1836) 'Uqûd al-La'ālī fi 'l-Asānīd al-'Awālī; Muḥammad Abid as-Sindi's (d. 1257/1841) Hasr ash-Shārid min Asânîd Muhammad 'Abid; 'Abd ar-Rahman al-Kuzbarî's (d. 1262/1846) Thabat al-Kuzbari, and Intikhāb al- Awāli wa 'sh-Shuyūkh al-Akhyār min Fahāris Shaykhinā al-Imām al-Musnid al-'Attār; Ḥasan ash-Shattī's (d. 1274/1857) Thabat al-'Allāmah al-Faqīh al-Muhaddith ash-Shaykh Hasan b. 'Umar ash-Shatti al-Hanbali ad-Dimashqi; Mahmud al-Hamzāwi's (d. 1305/1887) 'Unwan al-Asanid; 'Avdrus al-Habshi's (d. 1314/1896) 'Iqd al-Yawaqit al-Jawhariyyah wa-Simt al-'Ayn adh-Dhahabiyyah bi-Dhikr Tariq as-Sādāt al-'Alawiyyah, and 'Uqūd al-La'āl fi Asānīd ar-

Rijāl; Fālih az-Zāhirī's (d. 1328/1910) Husmi 'l-Wafā li-Ikhwān as-Safā; Shams al-Haq al-'Azīm Ābādī's (d. 1329 1911) al-Wijāzah fī 'l-Ijāzah; Uthmän al-Batāwī's (d. 1331/1913) as-Silsilat au-Nabawiyyah fi Asānīd as-Sädat al-'Alawiyyah ilä Jaddihim al-Mustafä Khayr al-Bariyyah; 'Abd al-Hamid Ouds's (d. 1334/1915) al-Mafükhir as-Sanivvah fi 'l-Asanid al-'Aliyyalı al-Qudsiyyalı; Muḥammad Amīn as-Safarjalānī's (d. 1335 1916) 'Uqud al-Asanid; Muhammad A'zam al-Khayr Abadi's (d. 1337/1918) al-Isuād al-A zam bi-A'lā Sanad Yūjad fī 'l-'Ālam; Muhammad Mahfūz at-Tarmasī's (d. 1338/1920) Kifāvat al-Mustafīd li-mā 'Alā ladā 't-Tarmasī min 'l-Asanid, and Mu'jam Shuyükh al-Hāfiz Muhammad Mahfūz b. 'Abd Alläh at-Tarmasi: Khawgir's (d. 1349/1930) Thabat al-Athbät ash-Shahirah; Mukhtar al-Büghüri's (d. 1349/1930) Ithaf as-Sadat al-Muhaddithin bi-Musalsalāt al-Ahādith al-Arba'in; 'Umar al-Maḥrasī's (d. 1368/1949) Ithaf Dhawi 'I-'Irfan bi-Ba'd Asanid 'Umar Hamdan; Abd al-Havy al-Kattānī's (d. 1382/1962) Fahras al-Fahāris wa 'l-Athbāt wa-Mu'iam al-Ma'āim wa 'l-Mashīkhāt wa 'l-Musalsalāt; 'Alawī al-Haddåd's (d. 1382/1962) al-Khulåsat al-Wåfiyah fi 'l-Asānīd al- Āliyah; Alawi al-Māliki's (d. 1391 1971) Fihrist ash-Shuyūkh wa 'l-Asānūd; Hasan al-Mashāt's (d. 1399/1979) al-lrshād bi-Dhikri Ba'd mā-lī min 'I-ljäzat wa 'I-lsnäd; Mahmüd Sa'id Mamdüh's Fath al-'Azīz fī Asānīd as-Sayyid 'Abd al-' Azīz; Abū Ghuddah's (d. 1417/1996) Imdād al-Fattāh bi-Asānīd wa-Marwiyyāt aslı-Shaykh 'Abd al-Fattālı; Muhammad b. Alawi al-Māliki's (d. 1425/2004) al-'Uaŭd al-Eu'lu 'ivvah bi 'l-Asānīd al-'Alawiyyah: Muhammad Akram an-Nadwi's Nafahāt al-Hind wa 'l-Yaman bi-Asānīd ash-Shaykh Abī al-Hasan. For further listing regarding thabats see Abu Ghuddah, Imdad al-Fattali, pp. 410-591.

140 See Chapter 1, p. 21.

141

Among these Jāwā selolars and their thabats we may mention 'Abd al-Ghani al-Bīmāwī's (d. 1270/1853) Al-Ḥāwā fī Asānīd al-Bīmāwī; Fājimah al- Falimbāniyyah's Al-Fahāris al-Qā'imah fī Asānīd Fājimah; Aḥmad Khajīb al-Minkābāwī; (d. 1334/1916) Flām ar-Rāwi fī Asānīd Ahmad al-Khatīb al-Minkābāwī; Mukhtār 'Aṭārīd al-Būghūrī's (d. 1349/1930) thāf al-Mhahaddithīn bi-Musalsahāt al-Ahādith al-Arb'īn, Mauhal al-Wārīd fī Shuyākh fīm 'Atārīd, and Jam' ash-Shawārīd min Marwiyyāt līm 'Atārīd; "Umar as-Samārānī's compilation of his teacher isnāds entitled Thabat al-Ḥāfī; ash-Shaykh Zayn ad-Dīn b. Badawī al-Jāwī; 'Abd al-Fattāḥ Rāwah's (b. 1334/1915) al-Majmū'at ar-Rāwiyah lī 'l-Aḥādīth al-Musalsalah bi 's-Sīfāt al-Marwiyyah, and al-Masā'id ar-Rāwiyah ið 'l-Kātāb wa' 'l-Mhātīn al-Marwiyyah, For further list on

- thabats see Mamdüh, I'lām al-Qāṣī, pp. 63-82; idem, Tashnīf al-Asmā', pp. 109, 410; Abū Ghuddah, Imdūd al-Fattāḥ, p. 443; al-Mālikī, Fihrist, p. 285; al-Falimbānī, Mukhtār, Bulūgh al-Amānī, vol. 1, pp. 59, 63, 163.
- Muḥammad Bahjat b. Bahā' ad-Dīn b. 'Abd al-Ghanī b. Ḥasan al-Baytār is a paternal grandson of 'Abd ar-Razzāg b. Ḥasan al-Baytār, author of Hilyat al-Bashar. See Kaḥḥālah. Mu'jam al-Mu'allifin, vol. 5, p. 235; al-Baytār, Hilyat al-Bashar, vol. 2, p. 851 footnote.
- 14) See al-Ahdal, an-Nafas al-Yamānī, p. 139.
- See Yusof, Kedah. p. 14: idem. Periwayatan, appendix. 1-3.
- 145 See Yusof, Kedah, p. 14; idem, Periwayatan, appendix. 3.
- These numbers represent the 'Abjadi' numerical values of the Arabic letters in the last line of this poem and when added up indicate the corresponding year (1246 A.D.).
- 143 See Yusof, Kedah, p. 14; idem, Periwayatan, pp. 11-2.
- <sup>148</sup> Supra, Chapter 1, p. 15; Chapter 2, pp. 35, 37.
- 140 See Abdullah, Sveikh Abdus Shamad, p. 131.
- A copy of this edition, undated and without the publisher's name is preserved in the University of Leiden Library, Cf. Ibn al-Faqih, 'Abd as-Samad b, Faqih Husayn, Anis al-Muttaqin, pp. 1, 3.
- See Voorhoeve, Handlist of Arabic manuscripts in the Library of the University of Leiden and other collections in The Netherlands (The Hague, Leiden University Press, 1980), p. 14; idem, "'Abd al-Şamad b, 'Abd Allāh al-Palimbāni," EF, vol. 1, p. 92.
- <sup>152</sup> See Ibn al-Faqih, Anis al-Muttaqin (MSS Leiden University), Or. 1751, fols. 313-22; Or. 7030, pp. 218-43; Or. 7049, fols. 65-97.
- See Kaḥḥālah, Mu'jam al-Mu'allifin, vol. 5, p. 236; al-Baghdādī, Idāḥ al-Maknūn, vol. 1, p. 149; Muţī' ar-Raḥmān, al-Fahras al-Mukhtaṣar, vol. 3, p. 1152.
- 154 Cf. Kaḥḥālah. Mu' jam al-Mu' allifin, vol. 5, pp. 235, 236.
- See al-Ashi, 'Abd ar-Raḥim al-Jāwi, Hidāyat al-Muttaqin (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 2261(B); MSS 2086; MSS 2466(E).
  - 156 The rest of the evidence which he includes were: The Leningrad manuscript copy (photos 15-99) bears the entry Van Doorninck, 1876, who according to Voorhoeve was stationed in Palembang as a civil servant from 1873-1875 and then went to Europe on furlough; there is a marginal note in Javanese (photo 23); the word sanggar in the Middle Malay is used to indicate a heathen offering, but not in the Javanese meaning and Voorhoeve assumes that the censured heathen practices probably occurred in the Palembang hinterland about 1774; and finally, the Jakarta manuscript

copy (MS, VdW, 37) contains a page dedicated to *Jihād*, which according to Voorhoeve was one of 'Abd as-Samad's specialities. The Leningrad manuscript copy is preserved in the University of Leiden Library, Or. 14.359, See Drewes, "Further data," pp. 273-4; idem. *Directions for Travellers*, p. 223; Quzwain, *Mengenal Allah*, pp. 20-2. For the summary of *Tulifat an-Rāghibīn*, see Drewes, "Further data," pp. 277-90.

- 45 However, it is a baste to conclude that the Tulifat was written at the request of the Sulfan of Palembang just because al-Falimbani wrote two letters to the Princes of Java; it would have been more plausible if Drewes suggests that it was written at the request of the ruler of Java. See Drewes, "Further data," pp. 267, 273-90.
- See Quzwain, Mengenal Allah, pp. 20-3; Azra, The Origin of Islamic Reformism, pp. 134-5, 200.
- See Azta, "A Hadhrami Religious Scholar in Indonesia: Sayyid 'Uthmān' in Freitag, Utrike and Clarence-Smith, William G, (eds.) Hadhrami Traders, Scholars and Statesmen in the Indian Ocean, 1750s-1960s (Leiden; New York, Brill, 1997), p. 257.
- 160 Cf. al-Banjārī, Şiddīq Risālah Shajarah al-Arshadiyah, p. 9; Azra, "A Hadhrami Religious Scholar," p. 257.
  - See al-Faţāni, Dāwūd b. Abd Allāh, Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Sulūk Tariqut al-Muttaqīn (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSS 728, pp. 60, 61, 64; (MSS Islamic Arts Museum of Malaysia), MI 318, p. 55. A lithographed page of al-Faṭāni's Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn containing this information is also printed in Abdullah, Syeikh Dand, p. 45.
  - Siddīq also points out that Arshad al-Banjārī mentioned Sulţān Talmīd Allāh in the prologue of his Sabīt al-Muhtadīn. The latter text was begun in 1193 1779 and was completed on 27 Rabī' al-Awwal 1195/22 April 1781; with Arshad clearly stating that it was written on the behest of Sulţān Talmīd Allāh. However, looking at the date the latter ascended the throne in 1778 and the completion of the Tulţāt in 1188 1774, it is clear that the request was made before he assumed the crown. This is probably the most plausible reason why al-Banjārī apart from leaving his Tulţāt anonymous also did not name the 'esteemed figure' (talaba minnī man lā yunkinunī mukhālafatahn ba'd akābir az-zamān) who requested him to write the Tultṭāt. Cl. al-Banjārī. Şiddīq Risālah Shajarah al-Arshadiyah, p. 100; al-Banjārī. Tulṭāt ar-Rāghibīn, p. 2; idem, Sabīl al-Muhtadīn, p. 2
  - 163 These include: firstly, the title page of the second edition published by Maţba'at al-Ahmadiyyah in Singapore in 1347/1928, which clearly

indicates that the Tuhfut ar-Rāghibîn was authored by Muhammad Arshad al-Banjārī (hādhā al-kitāb al-mussamā Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn fī Bayan Haqiqat al-Mu'minin ... ta'lif al-'Alim al-'Allamah Muhammad Arshad al-Banjari). The publisher supports this attribution adding that this edition was corrected by the author's grandson, Siddig al-Banjäri, who himself referred to a written copy in the author's own handwriting (telah ditashihkan risalah oleh seorang daripada zuriat muallifnya yaitu 'Abd ar-Rahman Siddiq b. Mnhammad 'Afif mengikut bagi khat muallifnya sendiri). The cover page of this edition is printed lithographically in Abdullah, Sheikh Abdus Shamad, p. 174. Secondly, examining further the Tuhfat ar-Räghibin and Sabil al-Muhtadin, it is evident they were written by the same author as he writes the exact same Malay text in his prologue, "Dengan nama Allāh yang amut menugraha'i nikmat besar-besar lagi yang amat menugraha'i nikmat yang sani-sani jua aku memulai ..."; the only difference between these two works is that in the latter, the name of the author and the person who requested this work are both mentioned. contrary to the former, both names are anonymous, cf. al-Banjari, Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn, p. 2; idem, Sabīl al-Muhtadīn, p. 2. Thirdly, none of al-Falimbani's known works discussed in this chapter were ever written upon the request of 'ba'd akâbir az-zamân,' let alone a Sultân. On the contrary, evidently Arshad al-Banjārī wrote his Sabīl al-Muhtadīn on the behest of Sultān Tahmīd Allāh. It is important to remark that unlike al-Falimbānī. al-Banjārī settled down in Banjar upon his return to the Archipelago in 1186/1772, subsequently being appointed as the muffi of Banjar. Thus, it is highly plausible that within two years of his return, the ruler requested him to write the Tuhfat. Fourthly, contrary to the meticulous dating system of al-Falimbani who not only tells us the year he completes his works, but also includes the day, date, month and place he finished them, the Tuhfat only indicates the year of completion. Fifthly, again based on the works of al-Falimbânî, all his works written after 1187/1773 onwards never fail to mention his principal and most influential teacher as-Samman; whereas the anonymous Tuhfat written in 1188 1774, a year after Nasihat al-Muslimin (1187/1773) fails to mention as-Samman even once, strongly indicating that it was not the work of al-Falimbani. The sixth, a manuscript copy of Tuhfat scribed by Mustafa b. 'Abd al-Ghafur al-Banjari in Mecca on Wednesday, 3 Dhu al-Hijjah 1237/21 August 1822 indicates clearly it was the work of Muhammad Arshad b. Abd Allah al-Banjari. This copy itself was done only one decade after the death of Arshad al-Banjari, in addition the scribe himself was a scholar from Banjar who could have possibly

been his student, see [al-Banjari], Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn, MSS 309, fol. 28. Seventh, the Malay word 'hubāya-hubāya' (lit, be alert) which appears five times in the anonymous Tuhfat, can only be found in the works of other Banjar scholars such as Nafis al-Banjari's ad-Durr an-Nafis and Siddig al-Banjārī's Syair Ibarat, Apparently, 'hubāya-hubāya' is only used in the local Banjar dialect as it is not utilised, to my knowledge, in other works of non-Banjar scholars, cf. al-Banjari, Tuhfat ar-Rāghibīn, pp. 5, 9, 17, 18, 28; al-Banjārī, Nafīs, ad-Durr an-Nafīs, pp. 6, 32; al-Banjārī (1857-1939), 'Abd ar-Rahman Siddig b, Muhammad 'Afif, Svair Ibarat dan Khabar Kiamat, transliteration by Suhavib Svam (1ª edition, Riau, Unri Press, 2001), pp. 11, 15. Eighth, I have also been able to locate two more manuscript copies of the Tulifat ar-Rāghibīn held in private collections in South Africa; the first, in the collection of Dr. Cassiem D'arcy; although the scribe and date is unknown, this copy registered Shaykh Muhammad Arshad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Banjārī as the author. The second copy, owned by Haji Gosain Alawie Abdelkareem, records the author at the colophon as Shaykh Muhammad Arshad b, 'Abd Allah al-Bajadi (obviously deviation from al-Banjārī), see Zakaria, Katalog manuskrip Melayu di Afrika Selatan (Kuala Lumpur, Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia, 1998), pp. 42-3, 61-2, Finally, in addition to the above, Khairil Anwar, in his Arabic article correctly includes the Tuhfat among the works of al-Banjari, pointing out that the subjective personal pronoun 'aku' (I, me, my) used by the author of the Tuhfat is identical to that used by al-Banjari in his Sabil al-Muhtadin. On the contrary, al-Falimbani in all his works used the word 'hamba,' which although carries the same meaning, is often applied in the royal courts, see Anwar, Khairil, "'Ulamâ' Indûnîsiyyâ al-Qarni al-Thâmin "Ashar; Tarjamah Muhammad Arshad al-Banjarî wa-Afkaruhu" in Studia Islamika (3, 4, 1996), pp. 151, 161-2. Cf. Abdullah, Syeikh Muhammad Arsyad, pp. 103-7, for his repudiation on Voorhoeve.

See [al-Falimbānī], an-Nukhbat al-Mufdāh min 'r-Raḥmat al-Muhdāh 'Alayhi 's-Ṣalāt wa 's-Salām min Allāh (MSS National Library of Malaysia), MSFB 1004, fols. 8-20. The exact manuscript copy is also printed lithographically in Abdullah, Hidayatus Salikin, vol. 2, pp. 234-58.

See Fathurahman, Oman, "Penulis dan Penerjemah Ulama Palembang: Menghubungkan Dua Dunia," paper presented in seminar on "History of Translation in Indonesia and Malaysia," (Project of Association Archipel), Paris, April 1-5, 2002, pp. 15, 18-9.

- Fathurahman claims to have quoted pages 233 and 227 of Shaghir Abdullah's Penyebaran Islam dan Silsilah Ulama Sejagat Dunia Melayu Jilid 9. Pengenalan Siri Ke-10 as his source to sight a copy of the an-Nikhhat. However, Penyebaran Islam is only published in 58 pages, and the correct work that includes a lithograph copy of this manuscript is Abdullah's Hidayatus Salikin, which is not included in Fathurahman's bibliography. Cf. Fathurahman. "Penulis dan Penerjemah," pp. 18, 23; Abdullah, Penyebaran Islam, vol. 9.
- See [al-Falimbani], an-Nukhbat al-Mufdah, MSFB 1004, fol. 8.
- 168 Ibid, fol. 18.
- See al-Banjārī, Nafīs, ad-Durr an-Nafīs, pp. 14, 21, 32. Cf. [al-Falimbānī], an-Nukhbat al-Mufdāh, MSFB 1004, fols. 9, 15, 17-8.